

IN THE CHAMPAIGN COUNTY COURTROOM  
FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, 6<sup>TH</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
URBANA IL, 101 E. MAIN ST. 61801

DATED 11/15/ 11  
**CASE 10-MR-906**

JAMES F. OSTERBUR

VERSUS

STATE OF ILLINOIS; ET AL.

**Foundation filing**, establishing the critical question, through assembled knowledge, that examines DEMOCRACY;

**DO WE THE PEOPLE RULE OURSELVES by law/ Or, are we ruled?**

The elemental essence of this trial, as depicted from the initial filings is: WHAT do our employees in government, OWE US as individual citizens? Not specifically this litigant, but every citizen as guaranteed by law, that is our constitutional contract with each other, and our employees? Do they owe us the protection of standards they have declared to be necessary for the health of the human body [therefore measurements, and access to court], or do they not? Do they owe us a courtroom defined by our own decision as a community or individual; to investigate and protect ourselves from “money rules”; regarding significant change in environment or a community; which literally affects the whole/ or do they not? Do they owe us, the promised and **guaranteed to each citizen right of redress of grievances:** whereby the people themselves control “the government we have created, and defined by constitutional contract”? THE RIGHT of redress to insure the employees we have hired to do a specific job, keep their word: or do they not? Where are the boundaries between a citizen/ corporation rules this community with money OR the community rules itself, with rights guaranteed by democracy/ that our laws and our vote shall decide. Or have the effects and power of money to rule our lives/ taken over democracy, leaving us as slaves to someone else’s money? Where is the enforcement of law, that governs the oath our employees have made? When they say they are immune to our law/ our legal demand for accountability as is redress: **Is that not anarchy, the direct rebellion against constitutional rule by we the people?** Indeed it is.

**The functional limits of democracy are these: that we can only rule ourselves by law/ there is no other way.** That we can only rule our employees, by the contractual duties and restraints placed within the constitution as law governing them: thereby granting to we the people a guaranteed right of protection; from our employees. Because someone must swear to obey/ and that makes them guilty of breaking the law should they refuse

to obey, outright fail, or be found in open rebellion.

That means, if so: the police MUST ARREST, anyone; including a judge, lawyer, politician, police officer: etc/ **that refuses to obey we the people, by our laws.** Obedience to the law, or your oath is not discretionary/ it is the law of this state and or nation. Therefore “yes or no” they did what they swore to do, or they did not. *None are immune to the law* that governs democracy/ it is our law, and **we, the citizens of this nation, through the constitution, are sovereign over our government called “we the people”.** **IT IS OURS, WE OWN IT AS ONE PEOPLE.** Never is an employee sovereign/ they are hired and sworn, to do the job for which they are paid: or they do commit fraud, at a minimum. That is against the law, a criminal intent and behavior/ punishable with prison or worse. Let the people decide.

**The foundation of democracy is “a vote”/ but if you are improperly influenced, to vote** in a certain way; ***RATHER THAN being informed by the evidence of all appropriate sides being discussed so that knowledge and understanding DO CREATE A VOTE in you, for democracy itself.*** Then propaganda has taken over/ and a vote becomes an enemy. The function of a FREE PRESS, is not to entertain, that helps democracy not. Rather the purpose of a free press is to exist in the reality of ways that honor a society: by investigating in truth, the substance and essence and elements, that becomes a working force for the good of society/ or as defense against the bad. Therefore a free press is NEVER owned by powerful forces establishing control for the sake of their own propaganda. Rather it is owned by the people, and funded by the value of what an individual person or reporter brings to the public for viewing; either in protection of the community, nation, or world/ or for the purpose of greater experiences in peace and harmony so we the people can indeed benefit, from their work, and this influence of truth untainted by controlled and edited views. That is NOT OUR REALITY in this day, when all news media is owned by a tiny few, who do control and edit what we are told, by their decision

. **Therein this trial that is redress** formally announces, that in this state of ILLINOIS; there can be no media owned by any individual or corporation beyond what WE THE PEOPLE AGREE, is in our best interest. Or more clearly: **the money CANNOT rule the press/ we the people MUST OWN “the news”.** **Therefore redress exists** to regain and rebuild a base of reporting that gives us the ability to trust what we are told, and investigate what we are not. It is, our legal right to rule over the information we are given. To control, whosoever gets to propagate influence, over the public; in government or private and public sectors/ which does include advertizing and more. Changing the law, that rules public proliferation of “news”; demands, that what is important to knowledge so that the people are informed in every need that can change or influence their lives shall occur. So that what is critical in understanding is delivered, by its truth and the reality of its consequences/ not stories, “bits of information”, or beliefs. So that the people are advised by the truth, through the consequences plainly in view/ or conceived by its consequences on life discovered; accordingly interpreted, as a possible impact on our future. Rather than “some fart-head telling us stories, delusions, or fantasy” for the simple purpose of money/ NOT society; as is common today. The threats I do list on web sites provided [www.justtalking3.info](http://www.justtalking3.info) its primary. Prove that is so/ prove we have been ravaged and raped and discarded by the media: the simple fact that we are mathematically proven to be consuming more oxygen than this planet can produce/ IS IMPORTANT TO SOCIETY, but not a

word over the years in any media/ even though warned. No protection against thieves/ no protection against liars/ no protection against cheats; look at your money/ your bankruptcy/ your foreclosures/ your inequality, and their greed. NO PROTECTION against terrorists in the university, military, or business: they play with a fire, same as the sun/ if wrong, we burn; they play intending to recreate the single most destructive event in the history of the universe/ if wrong, the planet explodes; They mutilate and crucify all of nature/ destroying life on earth: **they CANNOT fix, what they destroy**, which leads to the religious word “satan”. We are abandoned, by media or these things could not exist. We are entertained, so as to be distracted from the truth/ and led to our death, because greed is more important than life to them. Our true vote is destroyed, because the influence of people in power, claiming to be experts; has created **an assumption of knowledge that is in error/ TRUTH, MUST be regained!**

***This trial is about the power of money versus the power of democracy to decide as we the people: WHAT our society shall be.*** This trial is about what and who, we will tolerate controlling our lives, our future, and our world. And it includes the power of media; “to tell us what to think, and who to believe”/ regardless of your claim to be an expert:

*let the truth of our reality/ the consequence of what failure does mean direct us all, enter in: thereby granting wisdom, or at least fear “to be wrong”, as directed by the evidence alone, prove what we must or shall think; do/ or not do. Let each decide for themselves, with honest information, NOT edited for, content by the rich.*

**The power of democracy is: OUR ABILITY AS WE THE PEOPLE TO CHANGE OUR WORLD/ as rulers of ourselves.** *The preamble to the US constitution [establishes for this state as well as this nation] & grants the foundation of that change, as to WHAT, we the people in our inheritance of this nation: have been granted the power to do. That preamble does state clearly: that whatsoever is in the best interest of this society/ this nation/ this people/ and these children shall be done.* Therefore change as is conceived by limited capitalism: **“You shall be forced, to leave some money, and opportunity, and property: for us”/** is functionally an issue of redress to be discussed.

The foundation of limits are literal in liberty/ liberty is: the deliberate extrapolation of what are the boundaries of this society, beyond personal freedom. **Personal freedom ends, when you interfere or change my life/ our lives: when you trespass across boundary lines.** IT IS here, that liberty begins; “*You have a right, to your life as you see fit/ but so do we: to go this far”* **in determining what is fair for everyone.** But no farther than is honestly necessary, because that decision or action or reaction; affects us all/ it affects every freedom or right”, but remains **LIBERTY NEEDED FOR PEACE**. Consequently in the demand to determine what are the boundaries of money versus democracy: the elemental consequences of limiting capitalism come front and center/ as we discuss and vote upon by redress; what is in the best interest of this whole society, and its future. IT IS, Democracy in action.

The foundation called redress of grievances: IS **ACCOUNTABILITY** to the people, and from the people/ that they may decide the future & the punishment, for themselves; when it is clear our employees have failed. Elevating the courtroom of our democracy/ from the courtroom

of individual consequences: to democracy itself. Assembles the path, and constructs the meaning of democracy as, “our ability to control our employees”/ our right to demand accountability/ our need to establish a different path for this society. Rather than allowing the employees to control us/ redress asserts: we shall control ourselves, as owners here, with full and certain rights! The failure in view is: Clearly, “the fools, have taken over government”, and we must then defend ourselves from massive failure, and complete chaos; as that is coming.

Our ability to control our employees stems directly from controlling our money ourselves, thereby a tax revolt is legally required. Their ability to control us, stems directly from spending our money, for their own purposes: as has clearly been proven, “the choice they made”.. Criminal conduct; is distinctly determined by whether they obeyed our law or not, chose with the intent to honor and respect all of us, or not (no favoritism) / and is directed by the facts of criminal conspiracy, collusion, corruption, rebellion, and terrorism, All of these: are in open view, established and without doubt through the various lawsuits this litigant has pursued through the courtroom. Therefore the question is: how do we remove an army of employees who have betrayed us, for their own greed/ power/ or pride?

The answer is: that we the people/ are a bigger, stronger army than they! The answer is: that we the people must define ourselves as the government, not merely individuals caught in a mire within which we have no control, or guaranteed rights. **That is not so, IF WE PROVE DEMOCRACY WORKS/** then we prove we are the owners here! Therefore in this courtroom of the people/ by the people/ and for the people: we have the option of proving “from the judge/ on down the line”, what is or is not true. **When found guilty of rebelling against our democracy, and in fact trying to dismantle and destroy our democracy, as is the contract of a constitution: THERE WILL be punishment.** As is true of all dictatorships throughout history:

You start with the individuals/ and then take apart the rebellion one house at a time. Does it not work for them? ***We are the military/ we are the police/ we are the politician/ and everything else.*** REMOVE THE DOORS, AND SHINE IN THE LIGHT: then **NOBODY is greater than we are,** as we the people united in these states of America for ourselves, ***our government, and our rule by law OVER OURSELVES.***

*Prove you are the rulers, of this state and nation!*

**IN SUMMARY: LET THIS COURT:**

**PROVE DEMOCRACY RULES THE MONEY, and this court:**  
as WE, the people, the owners of our state and nation. **The rulers of ourselves, by constitutional law. AS IS REDRESS.** Therefore establish redress trial, call the people by demanding media inform the public throughout the sixth judicial district, and choose a jury of no less than 24 people by lottery before the public so that none can doubt, it was fair.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I, James F. Osterbur do hereby declare: that I have sent a true and accurate copy of the foregoing/ to each of the following/ along with the court; to these addresses. On this date 11/15/11. First class postage prepaid in the US mail service.

*To the federal parties SIMPLY to avoid the excuse should redress occur/ that they were not informed, nor had opportunity to speak. Because federal redress SHALL occur, if the state responds according to the law. **They are then obligated to help me attain first amendment redress.** “You, are then not hidden anymore”.*

### **Parties to the proceeding**

**FOR THE USA: THE SOLICITOR GENERAL ROOM 5614, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania ave, NW Washington DC 20530-0001**

The originating defendants:

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

box 19281 Springfield IL 62794-9276

IL DEPT OF AGRICULTURE OVERSIGHT AND ENFORCEMENT.

Box 19281 Springfield IL 62794-9281

Environmental protection agency for the USA, Chicago office IL:

US EPA region 5 Ralph Metcalfe Federal building 77 W. Jefferson blvd Chicago IL 60604

Department of OSHA for this USA. Chicago area

701 Lee st. Suite 950 Des Plaines IL 60016

Department of traffic safety for IL

box 19245 Springfield IL 62794-9245

Department of human rights; 100 W. Randolph st. Chicago IL 60601-3218

added is

*US ATTORNEY Gerard A. Brost 211 Fulton st. Suite 400, Peoria IL 61602*

*STATES ATTORNEY office Champaign county 101 E. Main st. Champaign/ Urbana IL 61801*

**IL ATTORNEY GENERAL 500 S. Second st. Springfield IL 62706**

**added as lawyers for the defense was:**

*IGNACIA S. MORENO Lawyer for epa requesting electronic filing from court/ no address to me.*

*AMY J. DONA Lawyer for US dept of justice/ environmental and natural resources division/ environmental defense section box 23986 Washington DC 20026-3986*

**THE GOVERNOR, for the state OF IL, PATRICK QUINN**

**207 state house, Springfield IL 62706**