

In US DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIVISION, FOR THE STATE OF IL
201 S. VINE ST, URBANA, IL 61802

DATED MAY 11, 2011

case # 11-2111

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR
2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873
<http://www.justtalking3.info>
Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS
GOVERNOR; P. QUINN
207 state house, Springfield IL 62706
ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100
W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274
IL Attorney general: LISA MADIGAN: 500 S. Second st. Springfield IL 62706
CIRCUIT JUDGE, THOMAS DIFANIS; champaign county courthouse 101 E.
Main st. Urbana IL 61801

TITLED: sanctions!

Case 11-2111

in response to the perjury filed on behalf of the defendants in this trial:
suggesting that the legal work of this plaintiff is by any measure or degree
“frivolous or unclear”. I remind you again that what I ask for is the law. I remind
you again, that the purpose of the hearing in case 10 mr 853: as indicated by my
own filing was to establish and sustain ANY POSSIBLE CONCLUSION from the
court, that constitutional law could be dismissed. They refused to answer that
request. Therefore the judge aligns himself, with the defendants listed: in an
anarchy to dispel and discard the law of this state called ILLINOIS.

That is a criminal offense. I remind you that you are not “the law” of this
state or in this state/ you are an employee, each and every single one. The law, is
the law/ and there is no other. You are NOT the law, you are intended to be

enforcers of the law/ and I ask deliberately and repeatedly for the constitutional promises guaranteed to each and every citizen in this state to be carried out, for both me, and them. Because as the judge essentially agreed in 853; this state is in crisis, and at the edge of turmoil.

Your compliant against redress of grievances is entirely unfounded; as is witnessed by you to that fact with the clear reality NOT ONE single question as to the law called redress itself has been presented in any case whatsoever/ by the court or its defense attorneys. Instead as is the evidence of a courtroom, having no law by which to denounce or determine a verdict contrary to constitutional law: YOU HAVE REBELLED against this people and their law, determined to call the law/ the constitution/ the citizenry/ and me ridiculous, frivolous, gibberish, etc. Because you have no alternative to the law/ only words of ridicule, bias against the law you are sworn to uphold, and outright treason: the deliberate intent to destroy the contractual promises of this government called WE THE PEOPLE/ and claim that power for yourselves, instead of us. That is an act beyond rebellion, and befits only those who are at war against our democracy. If it were not so, you WOULD do your duty, and obey our law, our constitution, and our authority as we the people. Yet you refuse.

But you are not alone, as the evidence of a courtroom has proven each and every judge that refuses to obey constitutional law has trespassed against this people, against this nation, and against the democracy we inherited here. To assume, that a black robe/ “is army enough” to simply take over the role of our authority as a democracy/ and establish rulers instead of law. I say it is not so, and demand it is the law that leads/ and it is the conspiracy against this democracy that will end. Because if it does not/ then we are no democracy, but have been invaded and destroyed as a nation.

The cause of action is very simple and well known to you/ as case after case proves the conspiracy to destroy this democracy within the judiciary. That can only mean it is collusion on the part of a judge, and leadership/ it is corruption of the worst degree. Because either the law rules us/ or we are a democracy no more. That simple fact: demands treason exists!

You lost the special and limited appearance to contest personal jurisdiction/ and you know it, that is why a hearing was proposed to rerun the old trash, and limit my response so that it could not be heard. An act of betrayal, distinguished by the lack of due process (the right to be heard)/ in a trial for justice. You betrayed the courtroom in so doing/ you betrayed the people in their need for justice and democracy. You betrayed your oath. And you assumed that “frivolous

and patently without merit” would hold up against your failure to respect constitutional law. That limits duty to the response, “I must try again, to let this democracy breathe/ because these strangle, and intend to murder that very democracy; refusing our authority as a people/ and discarding our law, as their whim. A criminal offense.

You cannot dismiss constitutional law. Prove it is not so.

You cannot overrule constitutional law, with a rule of the court! Prove it is not so.

You cannot limit the demand for constitutional guarantees to each and every citizen here, by assuming or accepting that it is just about me/ it is not, these are guarantees to each and every citizen. Therefore we all stand at trial, we are all called frivolous/ incomprehensible/ and by your words speaking, “gibberish”. Do you not sign your name, in that declaration.

Your claim on page 2 is: that this constitutional law called redress demanded by me,

by your own signature: *“Constitutes a clear and certain certificate that you have read the pleading, motion, or other that to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief formed after reasonable inquiry it is well grounded in fact and is warranted by existing law or a good-faith argument..... not interposed for any improper purpose,....litigation”*. Proving perjury.

Because you know just as well as do I, that this case is constitutional law, the guaranteed rights to each and every citizen, and this democracy through its authority by law WE THE PEOPLE are in charge. We have rights.

Yet this defense states against me: *“the court may impose an appropriate sanction on the party in violation of rule 137; which clearly you are”*. When in fact it is you, that violates rule 137: I merely ask the law shall be obeyed, the people exercise their duty and authority as we the people. Or in the alternative, explain in clear and literal detail, as would be fitting for a public media campaign (choose your words carefully, lest the people be upset.): explain to me my error, in a courtroom of law, where penalties exist. You refuse.

The term “instant frivolous action” identifies a compulsion to belittle constitutional law, and the guarantees of OUR OWN government, TO OURSELVES. In other words these guarantees do not concern you as an employee. As an employee, YOU WERE HIRED to obey these guarantees which we have given to ourselves. The failure to obey and participate correctly in the duties we did purposely require you to swear, “I will do defend, protect, and obey”: constitutes a breach of contract, a true and literal embezzlement of our

state or nation/ our rights, and our value as a democracy called WE THE PEOPLE. That is a criminal act.

The defense identifies the truth, that there have been numerous lawsuits/ each of which WILL PROVE to be within the constitutional duties, rights, and guarantees of each and every citizen in this state or nation. The defense identifies the need for an investigation, as it has proven unable to find the law that defeats me/ and asserts that a rule of the court is equal. It is not/ the courtroom is ours as a democracy/ IT DOES NOT belong to the judiciary in any form or fashion: it is ours, because we are the owners here! You are the employee, regardless of position/ you are the employee hired to do the job for which you are paid. You have not done that, which is larceny. You stole our rights/ the value and guarantee of our constitution/ you have attempted to destroy our law; and that is treason. No case was dismissed by law, all merely the targets proven to be “frivolous/ gibberish/ and patently without merit or substance”. Prove me wrong. These are not vexatious lawsuits in any form or fashion/ YOU HAVE BEEN WARNED ENOUGH. And with meritless judgment, absolute arrogance, and a willful display of corruption/ collusion/ and conspiracy DELIBERATELY AND WITH MALICE, CHOSEN to discard the law/ the constitution/ and this democracy for your own purposes in complete contempt for the purposes or due process called law, and its demand for justice. That is criminal contempt.

Given that history we come to the parting of ways: by attaining the evidence of a corrupt, and criminal courtroom both as state or nation: **THE DEMAND for media attention shall arise. YOUR OPTION is again: let redress of grievances be given for this people/ obey the law/ and do what this democracy demands that you shall do for this people called the state of IL.**

If you do/ the rest is entirely irrelevant to me; although the people themselves may do whatever they wish. If you do not/ it is likely, that somewhere in this nation: there are people who care, as to the reality of your decisions against them. That is up to them, I AM HERE FOR REDRESS. Whether you like that fact or not is also irrelevant to me. Let the people decide for themselves/ because if they are nothing but cowards, then let them “eat their own cake” so to speak. You want it/ its yours; I will leave.

MEMORANDUM

The factual basis for the amended complaint which then included ALL

THOSE CITIZENS WHO ARE GUARANTEED THIS RIGHT/ THIS LAW OF REDRESS: Shall be included in this action/ as is their right. This is about constitutional law/ we all have a stake in what is real, or not true in our state. Therefore it is with merit/ and simple to understand.

The cause of action is again: The constitutional guarantee to each and every citizen for LEGAL REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, AS STATED IS THE LAW OF OUR DEMOCRACY. There is no cause or claim greater than this in constitutional law; and you know it/ you understand it/ you swore to obey it/ and you have proven to be traitor against it. Therefore it is the court itself, that has proven to be in criminal contempt of our democracy/ and the listing of evidence is necessary to prove that conspiracy and act of betrayal against this people. The critical listing of each and every trial proves only the contempt for both law and democracy: LET THE INVESTIGATION BEGIN/ LET THE TRUTH BE MADE ABSOLUTELY CLEAR/ LET THE PEOPLE DECIDE WHO STOOD UP FOR THEM, and who did not. For that is justice/ when it is the court itself that comes under the assertion called FRAUD.

THESE are then the composite of facts, as are necessary to give rise to the enforcement of a right to review the truth as is consistent with 254A. 2d 824, 825. The lack of DUE PROCESS, CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, JUSTICE, AND DEMOCRACY establishes a complaint that states a proper cause of action. Are these not biased crimes? Will not the courts be proven either “good or bad”/ and receive their just verdict. How is it you do not want “the accolades” deserved by the job that was done?

A compulsory appearance HAS BEEN SERVED. The reality of who has harassed who, is awaiting trial. The consequence of a criminal act/ are for others to do.

I asked repeatedly for the constitution to be obeyed, by our employees who did swear: they would obey, defend, and protect. They have instead refused; as a consortium of organized crime, they have aligned themselves against the people/ against this democracy/ and against their oath and this constitution of the state of IL. That is illegal. The appropriate criminal court remedy is sanction enough. OR CHOOSE REDRESS and present that to this people for their own decision by the terms stated.

To call the law of this state “frivolous and false” is lying in court. That is a criminal offense: YOU ARE called to profess exactly what constitutional law is,

and how that law relates to the people, their society, and their lives. Because as members of the judiciary staff of this state; people we employ: who claim to know such things as this. WE HAVE A RIGHT to know exactly where you stand. Frivolous and false, requires a sworn testimony/ or a criminal prosecution for fraud.

As the defense states “*it is not sufficient for a signing party to merely have an honest good faith belief that his or her case was well grounded in fact or law*”. Therefore support frivolous and false in terms of constitutional law/ or retract them and reenter the courtroom: for the deliberate purpose of redress, and without the libel, so pathetically supported: that is against WE THE PEOPLE.

The first demand of federal court: This trial 11-2111 IS NOT an appeal of 853. This case is an article three constitutional demand to make the legal employees judiciary/ and leaders, of this state called ILLINOIS obey constitutional law. It is not a review of the case in so-far as an appeal would be.

It is a demand to establish whether constitutional law WAS OR WAS NOT followed, respected, and sustained, within this champaign county courtroom of the state of IL. This is a legal demand to prove: is the constitutional guarantee called redress of grievances as in the IL constitution THE LAW OF THIS STATE, OR not.

And prove it by law/ not ridiculous, pathetic, collusion or conspiracy. As you would invite the media and every citizen to perceive; because your answer does go, in that very direction.

In addition to the first and foremost demand

The second demand made upon federal court: investigate the trials created by James F. Osterbur for the distinct purpose of determining the truth. DOES THE CITIZEN OF THIS STATE OR NATION GET DUE PROCESS/ EQUAL RIGHTS/ FAIR PLAY/ JUSTICE/ the substance and merit called democracy; or are they pawns at the whim of a hierarchal courtroom that fancies itself “As the superior ones”/ rather than our employees, rather than servants to the law. You do understand, ABUSE of the citizen or power by position; **is not the law.**

The third demand made upon federal court: investigate and determine the truth. Does the judiciary abuse and distribute contempt, fraud, disrespect, prejudice, and failure: within the use of words such as “Frivolous and false:

gibberish: frivolous and patently without merit: rambling and generally incomprehensible...contained no discernable claim: prolix, neological, simply incomprehensible from a legal standpoint, and belonging to a category of cases that present a blinding blizzard of chaff”: And as such they shall be removed from the use or value of the judiciary/ because fraud is consistent/ blatant/ and **clearly an abuse of power.**

The fourth demand made upon federal court: establishes a countersuit, to return to me the money I have spent in doing the work of this state and nation, by the duty clear and present in protecting/ obeying/ and defending constitutional right and authority to we the people. Every penny is spent NOT because it is required of me/ BUT BECAUSE OF REFUSAL TO OBEY THE LAW, by the judiciary. Therefore it is subject to review/ as is the money called taxes for 2005/ both state and federal. YOU required it of me/ YOU caused this money to be spent by me/ YOU denied access to the court/ YOU established corruption/ conspiracy/ and collusion against the people of this state and nation: NOT me. You failed by criminal activity clear and apparent, lying/ cheating/ stealing/ and warring against both state and nation as a democracy. Instead demanding to be rulers/ clearly assigning both constitution and law, to a judicial whim. That is organized crime against state and nation/ and as such, you caused the costs incurred: which means you are responsible for that debt. For the life you stole from me, in doing the work I should never have had to do, because these are guaranteed rights, and as such there is no legitimate need to pay you for a RIGHT, the constitution grants to me for free. It is your job/ it is your failure and disgrace. And you were warned to choose better/ given ample opportunity/ and refused.

There is nothing vexatious about any lawsuit I have presented/ rather the failure to obey the law by the judiciary is a cause significant and real. That ought not be/ therefore the law says to you: DO BETTER, yet every judge believes his or her opinion is greater than the law itself. Every judge protects the status quo, or more correctly the money, power, and pride of leaders who refuse democracy, because they want control, and use the judiciary against this people. The lawyers, a mix of absolute failure or better; but nearly all thieves enjoined with the court to keep the people themselves outside the courtroom/ SO THEY CAN EXTORT MONEY. That means you stole our right to justice/ you refused our right to equal opportunity/ you chose to impose sanctions against democracy/ and you clearly work for those opposed to justice. Justice is: a guaranteed right established with honor and respect. None of that, is here from you. Rather every word the court or

the lawyer uses is a ridicule of constitutional law and this people: because you cannot defeat constitutional law, and yet refuse to obey it. That is absolute arrogance combined with sedition, and the purposes of a traitor. Prove me wrong. Prove that is a frivolous claim, based upon constitutional law. Prove I have no right to the guarantees promised to me by law. Prove you have a right to disobey your sworn oath. Yours are the frivolous and ridiculous filings from those who do know the law rules, and they have no case. Yet do so anyway. Believing in immunity, which does not exist for you: because this is the law you defy/ this is the nation and the state of ILLINOIS that you ridicule and disrespect.

The assertion that you have the opportunity to remove me from the courtroom is errant. You have proven nothing: look at your excuses from the courtroom, **“NOT a single law of merit within a single one”**. **If there is no merit/ then there is no substance: which means you have no authority to make this claim against the evidence presented by me.** What then is the rule, for a courtroom, and a judiciary; out of control, and in violation of the laws of this land?

The abuse of judicial process is clear/ but not by me. I demand constitutional law and its guarantees to me! YOU defy and reject the constitution/ its guarantees/ its authority/ and its law. **No judge has jurisdiction over the constitution/ IT HAS JURISDICTION OVER YOU!** That makes you a criminal/ and because it is clearly the norm within the courtroom: collusion/ conspiracy/ and corruption has been proven without room to contend otherwise. The evidence is absolute, clear, and within a courtroom thereby established by truth: the judge acts without authority in every case/ that denies constitutional law. As is case 853. Because these defendants know that is true: it becomes the felonious taking of our personal property as a democracy. The removal of my personal property, which is a constitutional guarantee, to me! Not negligence/ a deliberate decision, with malice intended: as is clearly in view by this attack for sanctions against me. It is a notorious possession, of my citizenship.

Your claim is: “That I merely like to file lawsuits/ that I have some ultimate goal, that is less that clear. Your conclusion in violation of the demand for truth in a courtroom is: *“plaintiffs lawsuits, which typically involve a barrage of unintelligible filings, consume substantial resources on the part of the defendants and the judiciary”*. You have sworn deceit. You threaten: And yet you have not defeated me once with law, justice, merit, authority as is constitutional law, or any other means of a judiciary in compliance with due

process and the purposes of a courtroom dedicated to this nation, or this state; and its declared purpose of democracy which is: WE THE PEOPLE, RULE OURSELVES; WITH LAW! Nor justice, thereby breaking the foundation contract we the people have with those who have positioned themselves as leaders. The obligation/ your obligation of that contract to do according to constitutional law, is bankrupt/ as is the state, and nation you helped throw into the sewer; with one swindle after another. You have impaired the constitutional contract, between we the people and our government 115 A. 484, 486. You have altered my own ability to achieve the contract RIGHTS, and obligations of our employees, to me: that I was guaranteed by my state and nation. 185 A. 401. Article one of the US constitution section 10 specifically prohibits that fact: making your actions criminal under federal law. A felony. Every action that is not in concurrence with constitutional law, in this trial over guaranteed citizen rights IS PERJURY. There is no immunity for trying to defeat WE THE PEOPLE, and our constitution. Guilty as charged. Therefore a citizens arrest is due you/ I insist. Let the police power obey the law/ and submit to constitutional authority, as is the law of our democracy/ NOT any damn judge. There are no political questions/ this is law, a guaranteed right according to the constitution. Our property, as we the people: NOT yours.

I WILL BE ABSOLUTELY CLEAR: ABOUT THE FULL AND FOUNDATION INTENT WHICH IS MY OWN, IN THESE MATTERS OF LAW AND DEMOCRACY.

I DEMAND redress because of these issues and more like them which you have already been made aware of, but choose to curse this people by denying them their rightful say: under redress. Primary to every demand for redress are these.

Without antibiotic (disease held back) feed, the entire livestock industry dies. We have no other methods left, to raise anything. It will be the end of meat/ eggs/ etc, for billions. Confinement agriculture will stop, without antibiotics: what then?

The final sanctuary, for breeding stock we depend upon; in the arctic ocean, is now open/ and “fish” will then be extinct/ numbers too small to matter.

Thousands of ships fishing 24/7. “At sea, till full”. I must make a living& I WANT more!

World human population grows at 2 million per week/ 7 billion people; living on 7billion acres of actual “growing land”. One person per acre! Look around you/ the concrete/ land lost/ etc. Oceans polluted and dying. People ready for war.

The ogahala aquifer, supporting roughly a billion bushels a year, is predicted to be empty in six years; dust bowl returns. Scientists say: ONLY one inch, in fifty inches of rainfall, reaches the aquifer. Here too. Contaminated by toxins people introduce.

The court and your leaders demand: we don't have to obey constitutional law/ because we did not consent; even though it is in their sworn oath to obey. An impeach-able offense. NOT democracy.. Not the people ruling themselves by law!

Scientists gamble "we can bring the same fire on the sun here/ and control it; but if they are wrong, we die". Gamble they can create "the big bang" single most destructive event in the history of the universe; and not blow the planet up.

Gamble they can mutilate everything in nature, and we will survive intact? Fool? DNA IS NATURE, it is everything called life by discipline, balance, survival, or beauty.

Noah's flood is proven true: no other possibility exists to explain the oil and coal reserves. Evolution says "one piece at a time, we shopped and chose/ without a brain". Life says, **what** can you do without: "a heart/ blood vessels/ lungs....."? We do need all the pieces and parts, at once. Can you exist without a liver/ kidney....etc? Can you exist without the trillions of gallons of drinking water lost for fuels?

We can calculate the fossil fuels burned, fire created/ we know how much oxygen content is required; thereby we know, we consume more oxygen than this planet creates. Everything that creates oxygen; lost/ the trees under attack, ocean , etc.

One trillion dollars = \$10,000.00 per each of one hundred million people. 3.8 trillion spent for this year is \$38,000.00, per each of one hundred million people. That is the math; that is stealing, that is communism. That is inflation hidden from us

The pollinators are nearly extinct. Poisoned. Few fruits or vegetables/ no birds, reptiles...a link in the chain of life missing.. Like the key in a car, where do you go?

Www.justtalking3.info Asking you to care, advertise/ etc! Every child does, its their life. A humanity intent upon sterile plants, no responsibility, everything free.

There is more. Too late simply means war/ cannibalism/ extinction. For you too! Not a game. Life or death a future decided for us all, today. A future determined by every person who can make a difference. You want to hate me, and do. But it is your own lives you curse/ not mine. It is your own future you deny, not mine. It is your own failure, not mine. I am irrelevant/ because only you can change yourself.

These are tragic catastrophic failures, WHICH WE CANNOT RECOVER FROM. And you play with them like toys; which makes you a fool. There is no winning here! Either we accept and prove the consequences that will mean life on earth dies/ AND CHANGE. Or we have nothing left with which to hope for life and a future/ the children sacrificed/ the world in absolute chaos: BECAUSE YOU refused to let the people understand what is a life or death choice for them. This world of life, has a right and a duty to investigate/ examine the evidence/ and decide for themselves after sufficient knowledge has formed in understanding. Its life or death for the planet. Prove me wrong/ prove the evidence is in error. Let the people decide for themselves, bringing forth their own demand for evidence/ for accounting from them who do their work, as employees/ NOT RULERS.

There is no power here for me in redress/ there is no pride assumed or desired from demanding it: IT'S A DUTY, want it or not. It has consumed by life, because life or death for a planet **must**: you going to pay for that? No, you cannot/ because it is my choice. Because the world cannot die, without a fight/ and this is my fight. They can die if they want to/ but I refuse to let life die without a choice: therefore I return for that choice to be made, by the legal means most suitable for that purpose. YOU refuse/ when the law clearly says, I HAVE A RIGHT! THAT IS YOUR FAILURE, should I not say I as ashamed of you/ you deserve it/ you paid for it! But you, the judiciary or leaders are in fact, irrelevant to me. I want my vote. Or more correctly, I demand let the people have their vote, to change their ways or not: as democracy through redress allows and creates. That is then THEIR DECISION; that is then their reward, NOT mine. If they refuse so be it/ the world will die. If they change as truth demands by the evidence proving we must/ then the world may live. Either way, that is irrelevant to me as well: "Its your life/ their lives; NOT mine/ my choices are made.. My concern is LIFE must have its chance; each individual their own choice. NO EXCUSES ALLOWED. CHOOSE!

EXHIBITS

Exhibit A: 853 has been dealt with; it reveals corruption in the court/ because the law and the constitution was not served. I asked for the law/ I got nothing but frivolous excuses without merit. LIES by exclusion of the facts MOST important to the case.

Exhibit B: is not worth looking up, because you are so devoid of character and

justice/ the essence of failure is all you have/ the reality of disgrace and disrespect all you work for. But it was without doubt an assertion commensurate with: IF YOU WON'T DO YOUR JOB/ I DON'T OWE YOU THE MONEY. Your job, is to obey constitutional law and provide redress. You failed repeatedly and with collusion among all parties involved. Trial 10-mr-766 is not listed, as it contains most of what is important to me: **the extreme threats which can exterminate us all.** Thereby refusal to establish foundations in fact to this state and nation, in there most fundamental need: means reality and truth refused by the defense. Exchanged for gibberish, as an open denial of what is important, what is justice and justifiable, and what is open betrayal of this state, nation, and world. *It is not your job to judge if this is possible/ IT IS YOUR JOB, to allow the people by redress to make up their own mind. With the legal right to demand truth or punishment/ and the reality of authority to make their own decision and enforce it.*

Exhibit C; became US SUPREME COURT 08-1339, which is a demand for redress/ a demand OBEY THE LAW, OBEY YOUR OATH, AND ESTABLISH DEMOCRACY FOR THIS PEOPLE. The clerk of the court dismissed/ NOT A LAW IN SIGHT, NOR a judge/ not a president/ or legal attorney for the nation: all guilty of betrayal/ all guilty of treason/ all guilty of refusing their oath to obey, protect, and defend the constitution of this UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. prove it is not so.

Exhibit D again the court is asked to obey constitutional law, and provide redress of grievances. Again the court refuses without law/ but returns to the gibberish of refusal/ and claims it cannot understand what any third grade student might. Absolute corruption/ clear denial of truth/ LIES AND LIARS in the court/ failure in the judiciary, and betrayal of this people.

A history of collusion/ conspiracy against a constitutional law/ and corruption within the judiciary of this United States of America/ and this state of ILLINOIS.

For your failure I am attacked. For the disease of your treason, I am assaulted and threatened, because you are afraid of the truth/ and intend to shut me up. *That when used to deny me the right to constitutional guarantees, IS AN* **ABSOLUTE BETRAYAL OF: THE FIRST AMENDMENT FREEDOM**

OF SPEECH LAW. Which you again swore to defend, obey, and protect. Another criminal assault. Another LIE in a courtroom of life, where law says you are guilty of treason. YOU have taken hostile possession of the constitution itself 486 P. 2d. 702, 706. **That is an illegal act.**

THE LAW OF THIS CASE: IS CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEE, called redress of grievances.

You have absolutely no right to deny to me, or this people either state or nation: THEIR GUARANTEED CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT. You have absolutely no right to threaten me in any conceivable way, with regard to constitutional guarantees: that is organized crime, and subject to imprisonment. Accept your oath and DO what the law demands that you shall do: grant LEGAL REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, both state and nation. Establish the law, and I will never return.

Do, whatever you wish to accomplish that by law, that is realistic and fair accept material change 492 P. 2d 686, 691. I don't care about you/ I demand one chance for change both state and nation: BY THE DEMOCRACY WE ARE GUARANTEED. BY WE THE PEOPLE, as owners. As is our heritage, and our right under the law of both state and nation.

Be aware, I DO, intend to use the material you choose for media and legal purposes beyond this courtroom.

This federal district court is reminded, once again: this is an ILLINOIS STATE constitutional law case/ established by the demand: GRANT REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES TO THIS PEOPLE CALLED THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

THEIR REFUSAL TO OBEY CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: GRANTS TO ME, article 3 protections as is consistent with the *United States of America constitution section 2. 1; "The judicial power shall extend to all cases, in law and equity.....between a state, and the citizens thereof".* I will remind the judge, THERE IS NO GRANT OF IMMUNITY for the judiciary in the constitution: ANOTHER LIE. And further suggest: that all acts of treason shall be referred to congress; by the law called article 3, section 3.

MAKE YOUR DECISION.

In US DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIVISION, FOR THE STATE OF IL
201 S. VINE ST, URBANA, IL 61802

DATED MAY 11, 2011

case # 11-2111

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR
2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873
<http://www.justtalking3.info>

Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS
GOVERNOR; P. QUINN
207 state house, Springfield IL 62706
ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100
W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274
IL Attorney general: LISA MADIGAN: 500 S. Second st. Springfield IL 62706
CIRCUIT JUDGE, THOMAS DIFANIS; champaign county courthouse 101 E.
Main st. Urbana IL 61801

TITLED: sanctions!

proof of service.

I, James F. Osterbur, do hereby declare that a true and correct
copy of this filing titled: **memorandum and argument** has been
mailed on this date May 11.2011 by first class US mail with all
postage prepaid.

To each and every defendant listed on the first page, with a copy

mailed to the district court: at the addresses listed as per each one.