

STATE OF ILLINOIS
APPELLATE COURT, fourth district
201 W. Monroe st. box 19206
Springfield IL 62794-9206

James Frank Osterbur

dated: 9-10-10

RE: Osterbur, James V. Provena Covenant Medical center

general no: 4-10-0679

champaign county no: 09-LM-1414

BRIEF

1. **to the court:** the foundation of acceleration in a trial as short, plain, and simple as this/ **BASED entirely upon** whether the court judge difanis did or did not *follow the law*. Did or did not lie about “*the lack of a clear and concise statement of your petition*”. Did or did not *obey clear and concise constitutional rules*: which the court must obey, because it is the foundation of law in this nation. They are, *Guaranteed rights* to each citizen of this nation. And the certainty sustained, by a simple *indisputable trial* on whether I deserve the contract entered into; or can be summarily switched to a contract without my consent, which I clearly stated from the beginning, “NOT the emergency room.”
2. these are not disputed by facts, presented from the defense. Not a single statement.
3. **The appeal presented: august 30, 2010** is in your hands.
4. the record or transcript of trial already sent to you with the appeal, is in your hands. The record of written statements as have occurred, through trial preparation; is well within your grasp, at any time you desire them.
5. The docketing statement, in your hands, with rule of constitutional law as applied.
6. **And an immediate return of verdict:** after establishing if the defense shall submit any alternate conclusions or statements altering these facts which must be responded to. Is EXPECTED. BECAUSE this case is plain and simple. No oral presentation is needed: this is courtroom law/ constitutional demand! **Either you obey the law: as a judge instructed by the constitution or you do not.** Judge difanis chose NOT to obey the law/ and is hiding in prejudice and delusion. Realities NOT within the protection of judicial immunity.
7. Thirty days is more than sufficient for all parties involved. Thereby your decision is expected no later than October 10, 2010. as my right to a “speedy trial” should not be made to suffer any more, than it has. The failure to obey the law is a CRIMINAL offense/ intentional negligence is not accepted. A criminal is NOT entitled to remain or sustain a position called “judge”. That is a fact of nation and life; so say the owners to you. PROVE the law shall not be obeyed; or accept its rights/ guarantees/ and reign over the court. One way or the other!