FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS in Champaign County Court, URBANA

JAMES F. OSTERBUR V. CASE 09 LM 1414 PROVENA COVENANT MEDICAL CENTER

DATED: 4/ 27/10

MOTION, to include/ summon/ and establish the emergency room doctor YOO. And his collection agency "Shemauger emergency physicia" of Philadelphia.

Within the above case, is the accounting added after the filing date of a billing to the plaintiff by the emergency room physician Dr. Yoo.

As this matter is in court/ and the billing associated with the direct charge of the case in question: "I agreed to one form of billing and treatment/ but was charged for another, I specifically stated I DID NOT want.". The collection agency above; has been told repeatedly that this is the case/ been sent information diligently and with specificity, that this is the case; been told to cease and desist/ been informed that until this legal matter was resolved, I would not be answering any further calls/ and still: WITHOUT THE SLIGHTEST RESPECT FOR THE COURT, OR THE LAW OF PROVEN "I owe", rather than assuming I owe. They continue the harassment to this day.

The purpose of their summons is two fold: to assess and establish merit, and identify the financial charge that is most

warranted by a jury & to understand and identify the charge of harassment; asking the jury to fix a penalty upon that company for their disrespect of due process, me, and the law.

The second, establishes the fundamental trio, that identifies the financial business of medicine today; and as such forms the backbone of redress of grievances against the financial medical industry for the defense. While it is true, that they cannot be made to represent the entire medical industry unless that industry refuses to send another/ IT IS TRUE, that being direct participants in this case 1414: they are adequate for representing the medical industry in the preliminary hearing and subsequent trial, which begins June 3rd, 1:30 courtroom D in Champaign county court.

PREPARATIONS FOR TRIAL Redress version

Include: the direct questioning from all three parties as to why, is this financial business of healthcare throughout America: worthy of continuing as a business? Redress is about the nation itself! When it is clear, the foundation of such a business, which is: that in matters of honest healthcare, there is NO possibility for true competition; as body and mind shall always come first. Which then makes "a medical business" unethical/ and unable to establish clear agreement to the terms of a contract requiring the patient to pay.

The direct questioning of all three parties: as to why, the foundation of billing assessment/ which is "this amount of education deserves, an extreme amount of money"; has

authority over an entire society, when that entire society has no say as to what education is necessary. Without a direct say, without the functional knowledge of WHY does it take so many years. Without testing to determine the extent of knowledge required by a doctor in the actual performance of his or her duties: we cannot know, what is warranted or not. Therefore testing of doctors to determine and describe what is useful knowledge; as would benefit society; MUST exist. To determine what is the appropriate level of pay.

The direct questioning of all three parties, asks the simple truth: WHEN does the appropriate payment exist/ in a matter that was not planned for, or accepted as desired apart from the need to survive and be healthy? When does the assertion, I want more become a reality of extortion? When does the assumption of payment extend to the society at large? And what, shall be the limits attached to this society when paying for one of its own/ or one that is found within its borders?

The direct questioning of the all three parties will explain the functional reality of authority over people, by their assumption of expertise. Specifically, how it is that tremendous amounts of money can be ascertained: SIMPLY by stating "you might or you will get sick at some time in the future" therefore spend this amount of money and perhaps you won't. Even though NO ACTUAL data exists to prove that they can or cannot predict who will get sick, or to what extent over the entire range of prescriptions that invade and demoralize and establish fear in the lives of people. Without proof, and specific tables to adhere too, with regard to my own risk/ THERE CAN BE NO allowance for "make me rich/ take the damn pill". Further the pharmaceutical companies, as part of the medical industry will be asked to assemble the critical relationship between cost and reality in their association or expectation of pill/ medicine cost. With the specific example: "my parents have bought the drug mirapex at \$900.00 per three month dosage/ until recently when it went off patent. It is now \$12.00 per three month supply; due to generic replacement. Explain how and why this should not be "a government enterprise/ or an inventor subsidy rather than: I DEMAND TO BE RICH"/ from the medical industry? As a nation, we have a right to know/ as well as a right to decide for ourselves WHAT IS, or is not fair. Because it is not a business, due to the influence of pain and loss at the most personal levels: there is room for society to determine and decide WHAT THE TRUTH OR DESIGN OR DECISION, about what medicine as a business in this nation will be.

The elemental expectation of business: DEMANDS AN ANSWER to each and every one of these questions/ as we begin the debate of whether this nation itself shall intervene, and decide by vote among the people: what the future of healthcare will become.

The purpose of this secondary trial is to establish a jury/ that will then ask the people of this county/ state/ and nation: if they do so agree. **We the people**: MUST intervene for ourselves/ or NO stay away and let the business remain as it exists.