## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

Supreme court building 200 E. Capital Springfield IL 62701

dated 2/7/11 James Frank Osterbur 2191 county road 2500 E.	) Appellate court 4 <sup>th</sup> district ) <u>Gen no 4-10-0679</u>
St. Joseph IL 61873 www.justtalking3.info petitioner/ plaintiff.	<ul> <li>)Judges: Sue E Myerscough</li> <li>) M. Carol Pope</li> <li>) Thomas R Appleton</li> </ul>
Provena Covenant Medical 1400 W. Park Urbana IL 61801	<ul> <li>Champaign Circuit court</li> <li>6<sup>th</sup> judicial circuit</li> <li>Case no 09-LM-1414</li> <li>Thomas J. Difanis presiding judge</li> </ul>
respondent/ defendant	

## PROPOSED ORDER IN THE ALTERNATIVE AND CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

<u>I DO:</u> hereby propose that dismissal represents a "jurisdictional" denial of constitutional authority. That there are no excuses for NOT providing the law, as guaranteed to me. OR in the alternative, by establishing clear and consistent merit for not doing so; by the law of this state and nation. The judge is not a ruler. There are no excuses for the failure to notify me of this time frame, which has created the instanter motion. Let the law decide not a judge. This rule is merely an impediment to justice/ not support Failure to accept this case moves it to the US supreme court.

**<u>I</u>DO**: attest and prove to the best of my ability as per instruction sent by the court/ notice dated February 3, 2011. For submitting <u>this petition</u> for appeal and instanter motion combined.

<u>I certify</u> that this brief conforms to the requirements of Rules 341(a) and (b). The length of this brief, excluding the appendix pages containing the Rule 341(d) cover, the Rule 341(h)(1) statement of points and authorities, the Rule 341(c) certificate of compliance, the certificate of service, and those matters to be appended to the brief under Rule 342(a), is \_20 pages/ plus one heading/ plus two pages memorandum/ plus 3 pages from the court/ plus two proofs of service & notice upon the court/ plus this letter of compliance and proposed order in the alternative. All are bound into one document/ thereby an additional proof is unnecessary.