

No. _____
IN THE US SUPREME COURT
for this UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"In re; James Frank Osterbur"
petitioner, pro se
www.justtalking3.info

vs.

**ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY
IL DEPT OF AGRICULTURE OVERSIGHT
AND ENFORCEMENT
Environmental protection agency for the
USA, Chicago office IL:
Department of OSHA for this USA. Chicago
area
Department of traffic safety for IL
Department of human rights; IL**

**added is: THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
represented by: THE SOLICITOR GENERAL
OF THIS USA, Donald B. Verrilli Jr**

DATED: SEPTEMBER 6, 2011

on petition from the US district court for the state of
IL central division Urbana IL
A PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT
DATED September 2, 2011

petitioner files pro se as a citizen of this USA
James Frank Osterbur 2191 county road 2500 E, St.
Joseph IL 61873

THE QUESTION PRESENTED TO THE COURT:

The protection of the people IS a primary element of constitutional direction and law/ thereby the past, future, the present, and the possibilities of harm **MUST be known**. Prior to letting anyone, gamble with our lives, our everything! We must know, the truth/ when challenged with an invasion that disrupts, or could damage our lives! That job of protection includes the investigation of ALL threats, and potential threats; as best you can/ so that we, the people can decide.

“Amendment 4; the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable.....seizures, shall not be violated...”

WHEN CALLED UPON, those employees representing both state and nation; city, or others: MUST identify the realities of harm, and the possibilities of OUR democracy: so that we the people can attain our GUARANTEED authority as WE THE PEOPLE.

“Amendment one. To petition the government for a redress of grievances”.

The right of the people to be involved in their own fate, their own environment, THEIR FUTURE, by the protection of a child. Against all invaders. Against, the consequence that is our critical consumption of resources: the reality of ALL children threatened. And much more is fundamental to justice and right. It is our nation. None, have a right to attack us. None, have a right to hide from the truth, OR:

our reality.

“section 2. 1. The judicial power shall extend to all cases in law and equity, arising under this constitution...”

The fundamental sanctity of life shall come FIRST/ not money! Is a foundation of every democratic principle and direction for this nation. None can deny an attack on the future, A GAMBLING WITH LIFE, RESOURCE, PLANET, BODY, WATER, FOOD, AND MORE: IS an assassination of people/ children/ or those not yet born, by reducing what they need to garbage.

“Amendment 7, where the value in controversy... the right of trial by jury shall be preserved...”

THERE, in this trial Is an attack against the future of this people, this state, this nation: by those who believe their money or power; grants them rights beyond our own. That liberty or democracy have no say.

Thereby establishing a war against those who otherwise cannot defend themselves; because of this power or money. Orchestrated by those with “too much” power, or money: we are required to accept, what is NOT in our own best interest. THIS COURT is then, required to choose for the people. We as owners of this nation have rights! We the people have redress, as the law granting our own democratic authority under the constitution: so as to choose as a society, for ourselves. It is your job, as the court: to establish that guarantee! The preamble:

“...In order to form a more perfect union,

establish justice, insure domestic tranquillity, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this constitution...”

The second question presented before this court is:

In case 11-cv-2023: HOW IS THIS the lives affected: their guaranteed right of due process? MY GUARANTEED RIGHT OF DUE PROCESS: “to be denied an order of the court to proceed as the law allows. Abandoned rather than served by the law! How is this: THE LAW SHALL PROVIDE A REMEDY?” My constitutional rights provided?

The question presenting for an extraordinary writ:

Having established case 10 mr 906 in champaign county court for the state of IL/ that case, whom the circuit court refused to allow or provide the proper names and addresses of the government officials to be subpoenaed (*bias, and the systematic protection of the few against constitutional law: traitor*)/ was removed by the courts decision to federal central district, court for the USA; Peoria division/ as case number 11-cv-2023. Which was they then removed by the decision of that court; back to the US district court; Urbana division. It has now been months since any filing has been made by defendant or the court/ months past the date I had filed a **motion to**

demand judgment must be made. **NO reply.** Not a solitary word about the constitutional questions raised/ complete avoidance.

Therefrom and in accordance with the fact that in case 10-2146; a case filed in the 7th appellate court/ Chicago IL; a court which threw the case out claiming the fee was not paid. (*a lie: the case, Destroyed from the record, a tyranny*) Even though I had previous filings in this case: *and **NOBODY gets a case number until they have paid the fee.*** (Truth and reality). WHEREBY the local FBI was sent a letter apprizing them of this situation/ NO response. (*Disrespect for the law, and this citizen is bigotry*) This is a reality, that existed: after I reminded this appellate court how they had lied, cheated, and stole my rights in a previous case; by sheer fantasy (*a ruling completely without substance in this case: ALL LIES*) and delusions cases number 94-1943 & 94-1944 . (*Anarchy*)

and again: A state of IL circuit court case 09-lm-1414 that existed from a contractual dispute in healthcare; I asked for a specific service/ and was charged for another! Wherein the judge demanded “he cannot understand/ what any grade school child over the age of ten could”. Because I demanded redress, or more simply: LET US, fix this now! He lied. (*That is Criminal contempt: and a conspiracy to deny my constitutional guarantee of redress*) Which became 10-2055; a demand the judiciary shall understand; obey the law; and apply redress to the foundation complaint: WE THE PEOPLE have no rights in healthcare billing/ they charge, and we are enslaved. Redress is mandatory, IT IS our guaranteed right as a nation. Discarded by the

court without a single word acknowledging constitutional demands or filings: *(that is: organized crime, the fact of a judiciary, at all levels: determined to deny the constitution of this USA/ this state of IL as well. THAT IS TREASON)*

Therefrom and in accordance with the fact that I had to have the pro se clerk from the supreme court establish and create a demand for an order of the district court in Urbana to be extracted from and given to me; *(desertion of duty)* in case 10-2257. So that I could proceed: which is now US supreme court 11-100. Clearly defines a courtroom of this USA and this state of IL: so devoid of justice/ and so determined to control the people, instead of serve them: as if it were “one court deciding against redress”. That is evidence of a true conspiracy against the people/ to deny, their guarantee of redress can exist. *(That is, according to the declaration of independence: “when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same object, evinces a design to reduce them under absolute despotism”.)* Is an act of war, against the United States of America.

And again: case 09-lm-1414 proceeded on its own to state appellate court; which then became appellate 09-10-2010 and subsequently IL state supreme court 111868 demanding that redress for this state SHALL allow the people to intervene as a democracy for the purpose of their own healthcare and subsequent financial obligations. Or more specifically we have the right: to our authority in redress IL constitution section 5: as owners, to decide for ourselves what is or is not fair, in healthcare; and change what needs to be changed. Denied. Judge Difanis in rehearing 1414 proving

constitutional law deserves nothing; “the only inalienable right/ is the one holding the weapon; the judge”! A conclusion in the court, that surfaces and is proven after another healthcare financial complaint: ***THERE MUST BE EQUITY/ THERE MUST BE APPROPRIATE BILLING, commensurate with actual work done; in healthcare.*** Established in the court as trial _USDC C.D. ILL. district trial No. 05-2038

Therefrom and in accordance with the fact that I had a case presented to the danville circuit court/ for vermilion county of IL. Osterbur vs. Selimi. A case wherein I did present clear and adequate testimony of the cause and realities involved in this contractual dispute, the defendant, accused of criminal contentions, the intent or reality of assault with the intent threaten for the purpose to steal, what was owed to me. In response: I paid the money, to this court/ I summoned the defendants/ I testified and prepared a sworn statement from which NO retraction was given: thereby my physical presence was absolutely unwarranted: merely frivolous and without merit. In this minor issue, of a motion to dismiss: that held not one possibility of dismissal from the law by honor/ justice/ law/ rights/ due process/ duty; or any other excuse available to this court. Instead of the law, instead of justice: I WAS NOT even provided any correspondence from the court/ nothing as to the outcome of the motion, or dismissal of the case.. Nor did the court send to me Notice as to the date, not as to the time or place a motion was to occur. But I was presented with a letter from the defense suggesting “I could attend if I wanted to”. If the defense knew how to find me/ SO DID THE COURT.

(clear and utter prejudice/ the demand of justice and law overruled by “the money and conspiracy owed to a lawyer: we rule, or more simply as in all criminal conspiracy: none other is allowed”). I was, Thrown from the court, without merit: because justice did not matter. Simple and plain. **I stood in front of the court on that day by all** that transpired prior to that moment, even though I did not physically attend, this motion hearing; whose only legal purpose could be: “to be certain the defendant knew what he was being charged with”. As there was no part in any complaint asking dismissal that was within the terms of justice, equity, fair play, or constitutional demand instructing the court to be, what society intended that courtroom to be. Neither was there uncertainty in the charge. This hearing could only be marked by trickery and treachery; the game of playing “we, the lawyers (including judges), own the court”/ PAY our price, or we feed you to the hogs”. *[Not uncommon: as is proven by the treachery of case 92-s-2991" a judge that believed he was the law". Or lawyer trickery case _02-1-126_] games NOT justice.*

Any legal avenue that would have occurred for the purpose of justice or law, would have proceeded with a written filing stating the realities of the case to be defended against. None of that, but an immediate, without substance declaration of motion to dismiss: which means collusion existed with the judge.

I WAS THERE in word and deed: clearly identified, BECAUSE IF ANY PART OF THE WRITING AND FILING which had been presented to the court: had been considered slanderous or threatening or in any other way legally inept. That

could and would have been conceived of as a method for imprisoning or charging me with a crime: IT WOULD have been used against me/ as if I had stood directly inside a court. Therefore my words do have that same gravity and they did have that authority, to represent me as if I stood there at that very moment. THE WRITTEN WORDS by me, signed by me/ paid for by me/ summoned defendants by me. DO, also stand for me in court, in this minimal hearing to determine if cause exists. My statements were clear. Without question it did establish my cause and complaint in court. But, Thrown out, without even a letter stating it was so/ not a transcript or recording/ absolutely nothing. A court without values or honor or respect for society or life or me. An utter disgrace, and a vile disease. A courtroom overrun by attorneys and failures: who have confiscated our law, to make it “their rule and cause for rape & plunder”. *(a court that has fallen victim to terrorist invasion/ because this is not justice, nor law/ it was not constitutionally valid. It was ridicule of me, and my demand for justice as a citizen, and a society: owed my day in court, but refused).*

Further: You come after me for 7 years or more, in any issue of money, the IRS comes at me, for 2005 taxes/ withheld, because you the employees of this OUR government: removed the right to challenge realities and cause in our government which is the agreement of the people in our constitution and foundation documents: to achieve “a more perfect union”; etc. Our employees act: As if you are sovereign, instead of the constitution itself *(that is treachery; the intent to declare yourselves who are simply employees: to be “RULERS*

instead”). The university collegiate debt you give to demand money forever; “*with clear, religious fervor*”: *it is religion in government choosing the university, over the people: , because you are believers/ and that is illegal.* BUT IN THIS COURT at that time, **I had thirty days to demand the money owed to me;** before the damn court threw that process away as well. When I am robbed/ when the next job is in jeopardy because of criminal intent and reality: IT IS my right and my need, to take the time necessary for my business. To act and decide what must be done first. **Without legal notice or rights or information of any kind presented to me; you stole my money/ same as a thug in the back streets, with a gun. This judge took the power of the court, and made it a weapon. A clear constitutional demand: turned to fraud in the courtroom/ DISGRACE/ DISRESPECT, DENIED by the court; without the slightest speck of justice given.**

Therefrom a disease of arrogance and power in the court; a hypocrisy so extremely destitute of value or truth/ that OUR justice system: is owned now, by the lawyer; and its only purpose is to steal from the public. Or more simply, the reality of a courtroom is: justice be damned/ the whim of a judge is all that matters. Rules that interfere with justice, are tyranny, NOT fair play/ NOT constitutional law obeyed. They are anarchy. **THIS IS, An arrogance in the court revealed: without the slightest respect for DUE PROCESS. Due process is: the reality of JUSTICE AND FAIR PLAY as it conforms to the needs and values of society AND to the**

citizen as promised. None of that here, in these courts! A failure of the court, without the slightest proof of constitutional law and direction obeyed: instead ridicule with words throughout such as “frivolous, incomprehensible,”.

THAT is not your job as a courtroom: so says the preamble/ so says the right of due process. So says the fourth amendment: MY PROPERTY was stolen/ my rights were stolen/ my courtroom was stolen/ my right of trial by jury was stolen. In each and every case. And in the Selimi case: this court reduced me to a slave, (I worked 6 months for free, because of this court/ the judge who sold me, and my rights, as if he owned the court himself, for nothing but arrogance and hate of a pro se litigant). That is tyranny. By rendering me, without the law, he made me slave: therefore without justice. By using an assumed innocuous rule/ every guarantee of the constitution removed. It produced a failure of the court/ proving the court itself belligerent and without duty. The judge, gave my life away to this person whom I HAD testified: did present to me/ is accused by me: of criminal conduct, threat, acting strictly, with the intent to steal. Which he did, by using the lawyer whose only intent and purpose: was to deny justice as well. An enemy of the people.

And there are more cases each proving the court is a failure. Or more clearly a prejudicial disgrace and disease: to this nation and this state, and we the people. That must be changed.

Amplification of the reasons

These are then evidence of:
A CONSPIRACY TO DENY TO ME, TO STRIP

FROM ME: **THE RIGHTS AND FOUNDATION OF LAW, guaranteed to every citizen, WITHIN THIS STATE OF IL AND THIS NATION.** Your rules of the court are NOT law/ they are merely demands of a judge: and they do not exceed or surmount the law itself. Which makes any judge who chooses a rule shall overpower the law: instead of the law itself, shall decide through justice: and the constitution which forms those laws, shall govern this trial: a damn liar! These courts and the employees for the defense: abandon me/ steal my money/ and refuse to honor the foundation of all US citizens: **that we are a society governed by law and OUR constitutional guarantees. We are not governed by employees, and that includes judges.** We are governed by the constitution, and laws that are within its authority and by its discretion: the foundation of our society, our agreement as a people, that this is who we shall be.. That is democracy. Any employee who steals this from us, is a traitor. There are more cases, each representing a judiciary that is bordering on anarchy and treason.

As is constant, and proven in every case that demands a constitutional guarantee, only to find the employees within the judiciary (state or nation); WITHOUT EXCEPTION in every single case: remain absolutely mute, deaf, and lying about the truth in terms of that constitutional demand.

AND THAT, is more than sufficient to extend the rule of “extraordinary writ” to me in this case extending from US district court 11-cv-2023. More than sufficient cause to resurrect these cases and prove what is the

reality of dishonor in this court system and correct it for the state, & nation.

THE QUESTION ESTABLISHED

BEFORE THE state and federal DISTRICT COURTS: NOW AT YOUR DOOR, because the state and federal courts of IL have proven unable or unwilling to establish the truth of what our USA or state of IL constitution means. They refused constitutional guarantee: with prejudice, lies, and behaviors unfit.

The question is:

WHERE DOES THE LAW DIVIDE UNDER CONSTITUTIONAL RULE: between the freedom of money, or the power it represents as one individual or, one small group/ *versus, the liberty of one small town, its people and its close-in community to say THIS is too much! And more specifically does this entire nation, OWN the liberty honored as life, to choose for itself.* Or more simply does the money rule, OR does this democracy called WE THE PEOPLE rule? *It's a simple question!*

THE CONSTITUTIONAL demand is presented before this court as the right of REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES according to the first amendment of the US constitution and the fifth amendment of the IL state constitution. Because as it has plainly been demonstrated: these employees of the people/ have each refused to do their job and participate in the protection of the people/ the defense of the

constitution/ or the judicial reality of liberty applied by democracy: as the means and foundations necessary to support our own lives through the courtroom. AS WE THE PEOPLE/ not, how much damn money you got, for a shit head lawyer (*ONE quote in the Selimi case was \$400.00 dollars an hour, to represent this case; where a large percentage make \$10.*), who knows little and steals more. How is that justice, particularly when the court insists: since the contract (which the court refused to enforce) did not contain lawyer fees for the collection process/ they could not be charged by me. Even though I could be charged with them, by the defendant. The courtroom is a fraud/ the conspiracy most enforced: WE THE DAMN LAWYERS, want your money. TO HELL with justice, law, right, or society. Nothing more than; “let them be our slaves.

Both freedom and liberty: are at issue in this trial, as it has moved, under the state of IL constitutional grant of redress for this people and has now extended to the US constitutional grant of redress for this people.

Or more simply, since our employees refuse to do their jobs/ WE MUST protect ourselves with redress of grievances. We must fight for our liberty and defend true freedoms for the individual. By confronting the use of money to control everything. It is merely a tool/ that has become a weapon. The use of money to disrupt, disturb, or destroy my world/ our world and our future, regardless of a claim that is valid. The reality instead: “I can take anything I want”. Whereas the constitution and its guarantee of LIBERTY; by the democracy called WE THE PEOPLE: says no. **Democracy**

enforced IS MY DEMAND, as written in the constitution itself. NO interference by the court/ rather truth, justice, reality, and foundations that will allow the sanctity of life and nation to go on. NO MORE FANTASY, NO MORE DELUSIONS, NO MORE UNIVERSITY RELIGION, NO MORE ANYTHING BUT TRUTH, LIFE FIRST, AND JUSTICE; in the courtroom of this state or nation.

Amplification of the reason

Contrary to the assumption of “men and money”/ the reality of freedom under the constitution of this USA is not governed by “whatever you want to do”. **BUT BY THE DEMANDS** of the US PREAMBLE to the constitution (*our promise to each other for what this nation is intended to be*)/ along with its amendments which govern the reality of what is allowed, or not in terms of our relationship with the employees who are hired to translate and determine the reality of society in general. The bill of rights which established the truth of what those citizens who sacrificed their lives intended that society should provide in return. And the declaration of independence which clearly states; A free people **SHOULD NEVER** be overrun by the simple powers and demands of those who took too damn much for themselves already. Or more simply “money shall **NOT** be used as a weapon here”.

Given that foundation, **the clear understanding of freedom is, or is intended to be: we will not interfere with your personal**

life/ so long as there is no real destruction associated or established against the rest. It's **“do what you want to do”/ but don't hurt our lives or our world or our future in the process.** **It's DON'T GAMBLE with me, or mine, or us!**
Simple and plain.

Thereby the question presented as to freedom is: when does your money, become our pain, or our cost to bear: because of what you chose to do, or the damage of what has become a confrontation to our world, or my reality. A reality by the decision of a few/ that demands: **our lives are or will be changed, our future altered; our environment damaged or destroyed; do to your actions. As a result of your money.**

Given that interpretation of democracy through the law is: THE QUESTION OF LIBERTY (which is WE THE PEOPLE), established by the power of the people to defend themselves through their constitutional demands and enforcement of that law. **Reality now demands a clear description by the people of what is: the freedom of money/ VERSUS, THE RIGHTS AND FOUNDATIONS OF LIBERTY: We the people shall decide! Or when does life come first?**

The foundation of democracy exercises its due and its duty as our government of the people: that we are one people by our constitutional law. Therefore as one people, or more specifically in this case: the power of the whole deciding for ALL/ under the constitution. By the terms of democracy: which is our vote, our duty to be aware of our responsibilities to each other/ the future/ the nation

& state/ and even the world. Is a responsibility born on the legal realities of WHO WE ARE, by the consequences of our truth.

The elemental demand of redress is to establish the parameters of this decision as a people: was created to obtain and clarify our relationship as a society between those who have obtained significant amounts of money beyond the rest/ and those who are clearly “the rest”! **Our relationship with the law of our democracy: this court as our employees/ as our representatives who are paid: To be the accumulators of evidence, the investigators of truth, the defenders of justice and law: so that we the people can decide with honor, discipline, and honesty.** The court has failed.

The elemental decision of WE THE PEOPLE, becoming, we must intervene: What should or will; our world and our relationship with life and money in society become? The question being: WILL WE THE PEOPLE, change our relationship to money/ to reflect our new realities, threats, and environmental challenges, OR not? As a democracy: THAT IS OUR RIGHT as a society of we the people, WHO DO, **own this nation.** We the people govern ourselves with the law we provide, under the constitution. BY OUR VOTE, and the truth of our responsibility to life.

THE FORMAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION PRESENTED IS THEN:

WHO OWNS THIS NATION/ the people with money, OR WE THE PEOPLE; the living

breathing working reality called LIFE: or more simply, “ourselves”?

Amplification of the reasons:

The elemental process of determining who rules, is governed by the simple reality of who gets to decide what will or will not be tolerated and done in this nation, state, or world that we influence; the future we decide and even as “this community”.

Thereby the question of money, wherein its impact is clearly NOT in the best interest of community, state, nation, or world is confronted by the constitution and its preamble demanding that WE THE PEOPLE shall in fact build this nation for the singular purpose of “what is best for the life of community, state, nation, and world. That is its interpretation, and none can legally refute it.

NOR is the reality of work that does NOT truly protect the future; to remain viewed, as anything less than: *an attack that has been demonstrated in everything threatened: a reality of gambling, and denying the future as is being done throughout the nation/ state/ and world. To murder every child; THEY NEED, what you destroy, to survive.*

Nor is it a reality of money/ the consequences of a few demanding the others shall pay. As is happening in this little community which began this lawsuit. A reflection of what is in the best interest of this place or other neighboring communities which must be robbed of their business/ their taxes and jobs; to support this one. **Not** constitutionally valid, a denial of liberty.

The question, WHO GETS TO CHOOSE the boundaries between freedom and liberty: : is paramount to democracy/ it is the meaning of we the people, as owners: when we choose, as state or nation or community for ourselves. Not just the damn few, telling us “they can steal, if they want to”. The money which has long proclaimed itself superior to the interests of community/ is not legally or constitutionally superior to life or democracy. The freedom which does not recognize the reality of the whole, but cheats us all out of our substance/ diminishes our property/ and takes from our lives and our rights, “its wealth”. IS NOT freedom by democracy/ but by tyranny.

The community establishing itself as a governing influence which does rule over the conflicts that confront it as a majority of the people, under constitutional law. Conceives of democracy/ BUT it does not speak of liberty unless the future, unless the others that will be affected, unless reality by its truth: understood and considered as a relationship we must share with LIFE FIRST: Is revered. Want is not enough, wealth shall not continue as an army against us: because if it is, THEN IT IS ANARCHY, and the element of treason. We the people have rights, life first!

We then return to constitutional **guarantees:**
THIS is who we are to be/ this is what we are entitled too, BECAUSE we are citizens here. So says the documents that declare us “the UNITED states of America”.

The question is: WHO RULES? But the answer is clearly, we the people/ because we own the constitution and its interpretation. We are the

United States of America, by our presence and possession of this land. There are NO kings or queens (including judges), NO everything belongs to you/ and we get nothing. Rather there is OUR RIGHT TO GOVERN OURSELVES, AND CHOOSE OUR SOCIETY/ BY THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF OUR CONSTITUTION; and its directive “to do, what is in the best interest of society, nation, state, child, and world; as best we can. Changing “by the consent of the governed; that whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute a new government, laying its foundations on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness.” (Declaration of independence).

Argument begins as the preamble of this USA CONSTITUTION:

We the people of this United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquillity, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

The argument extends: ...we hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal...with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. That, to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men deriving their just powers

from the consent of the governed;.....laying its foundation on such principles , and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness.....

The argument solidifies: section 3. That government is, or ought to be, instituted for the common benefit, protection, and security of the people, nation, or community; of all the various modes and forms of government, that is best which is capable of producing the greatest degree of happiness and safety, and is most effectually secured against the danger of mal-administration;.....section 4 **that no man, or set of men, are entitled to exclusive or separate emoluments or privileges....** Section 15. That no free government or the blessings of liberty can be preserved to any people, but by a firm adherence to justice, moderation, temperance, frugality, and virtue, and by frequent recurrence to fundamental principles.

So says the founding principles of this union called America. Their work merely needs to recognize we “ain’t just men”.

Parties to the proceeding

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: *the guarantors of our constitution, our democracy, our state rights, & our ownership as WE THE PEOPLE.*

These are, “The principles of this case”
guaranteeing to the citizens of each state: that the state SHALL uphold and provide its constitutional guarantees to each of their citizens. Protect the constitution both state & nation: They have refused.
FOR THE USA: THE SOLICITOR GENERAL

**ROOM 5614, Department of Justice, 950
Pennsylvania ave, NW Washington DC 20530-
0001**

The originating defendants:

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY

box 19281 Springfield IL 62794-9276

IL DEPT OF AGRICULTURE OVERSIGHT AND
ENFORCEMENT.

Box 19281 Springfield IL 62794-9281

Environmental protection agency for the USA,
Chicago office IL:

US EPA region 5 Ralph Metcalfe Federal building
77 W. Jefferson blvd Chicago IL 60604

Department of OSHA for this USA. Chicago area
701 Lee st. Suite 950 Des Plaines IL 60016

Department of traffic safety for IL

box 19245 Springfield IL 62794-9245

Department of human rights; 100 W. Randolph st.
Chicago IL 60601-3218

added is

*US ATTORNEY Gerard A. Brost 211 Fulton st.
Suite 400, Peoria IL 61602*

*STATES ATTORNEY office Champaign county 101
E. Main st. Champaign IL 61801*

*IL ATTORNEY GENERAL 500 S. Second st.
Springfield IL 62706*

*champaign county circuit clerk 101 E. Main st
Urbana IL 61801*

added as lawyers for the defense was:

*IGNACIA S. MORENO Lawyer for epa requesting
electronic filing from court/ no address to me.*

*AMY J. DONA Lawyer for US dept of justice/
environmental and natural resources division/
environmental defense section box 23986*

| | |
|---|---------|
| <u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u> | Page 23 |
| Question presented to the court | 2-4 |
| second question | 4 |
| question for extraordinary writ | 4-11 |
| amplification of the reasons | 11-13 |
| the question established | 13-15 |
| amplification of the reasons | 15-17 |
| formal constitutional question | 17 |
| amplification of the reason | 18-21 |
| parties to the proceeding | 21-22 |
| the authority of law | 23-24 |
| state of jurisdiction/ constitutional provision | 24-25 |
| statement of the case | 25-29 |
| granting the writ | 29 |
| democracy in action | 30 |

The authority

The US constitution and its foundation documents being the bill of rights/ the declaration of independence: ADDED IN the IL constitution, for the state of IL.

All declare that democracy itself is a ***“governing of ourselves by the laws that we create and enforce”***. The employees hired, are given the resultant job and work of providing the services and realities of life and social structure that give those words their truth, and our lives its guarantees under the law as one society united by what we have chosen for ourselves to be: *“the life we chose/ the nation or state or environment we built; and maintained for ourselves.”*

That is not a guess, not a framework for excuses/ but a reality of time and purpose by the disciplines of work. Its called DEMOCRACY, or more simply

“WE THE PEOPLE”, must choose for ourselves/ and then build for life first, ourselves.

THE DECISION of these district courts in trial 2023, was: abandoned by the lower courts! Making this an extraordinary writ.

Applied by the reality that your lower courtrooms could not find an answer to this fundamental question of democracy; therefrom, abandoning their duties. An extra-ordinary writ is necessary. The rule of democracy cannot be abandoned, by any employee: **it must be decided by constitutional law.**

State of jurisdiction/constitutional provision

The foundation of democracy is our constitution, and its guarantees to each and every citizen. I am guaranteed the legal right of redress of grievances. The legal determination by the people, and through a courtroom: to address the citizens of this place, this state or nation; with this simple reality: “ARE WE OWNERS HERE; OF THIS STATE AND NATION”/ OR, simply we decide under constitutional law, what is freedom versus liberty. Do we not?

Their answer, which begins in redress through a courtroom: determines the future of the case, the foundation of our time as a democracy, by our decision as a people. And the consequent reality of what we will or will not do, or be, as a people. Redress acknowledges a need to defend ourselves, our nation, and every other life that does depend upon our own decision. That is the purpose

of this trial/ the demand upon the court to provide the reality of a decision called truth in democracy. Assembled by: WE THE PEOPLE.

Not “me or you/ BUT BY US AS A NATION: exercising our authority as owners/ our right to decide, for ourselves. Our right to learn the truth prior to any decision that influences and determines life or death, or matters of real importance. Our ownership: which means the right to say, “no you may not/ or yes, that is our right; thereby it is your right as well”! Our demand to distinguish and determine for ourselves what is gambling with our lives, our future, these children, or any other reality of life we shall express and establish as the legal reality of, we the people. ***That is well within the framework of a courtroom, to establish and present the evidence/ punish the liar/ call witnesses to testify: and then let the jury called this UNITED STATES OF AMERICA decide/ by their vote.***

Due process entitles each and every citizen with the right to understand what EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS does mean for their personal and national lives. The privilege and the duty of being a citizen does NOT establish a “right to not care about nothing, but you”. Rather it DOES state we are entitled to the jurisdiction of law: the right to be heard/ the right to be protected and defended by the court/ the right to understand, that we the people are: this nation called America. It is our union that makes it so/ our adherence to the foundation that we rule ourselves by law; to ability to create law, or change government, for ourselves.

Statement of the case is:

The critical discussion that is our reality as a democracy/ IS our destiny served by; the decision we make. Defining our existence As, WE THE PEOPLE OF THIS, “United States of America”.

Is this, “that responsibility and respect for life demands: *that we the people are confined by our reality to understand the truth of our situation no matter what that is/ **discarding want, to acknowledge and live under the rule called TRUTH. Because truth keeps us alive.***” By Establishing what that truth is, we do establish a future; which REQUIRES the critical and real investigation of facts, resources, realities, threats, and the composite drawing of what our future shall be. If we refuse to obey what truth demands/ then it is absolutely true, that we live or die based upon the resources available to us as humanity, or just plain all life on earth. That means when you deliberately destroy resources, or take more than is fair: YOU MURDER someone in the future. They needed it/ and you stole it to play games/ to indulge in selfishness.

Freedom is a personal opportunity, granted in society by access to the foundations and means as are appropriate and necessary or fundamentally desired by each one. BUT freedom must be, UNDERSTOOD: to be governed by the future needs of each individual life, as comes to this world; as well as yours. In other words; what you do with your life is up to you/ our agreement as a nation is to provide you with access or realistic opportunity as is fitting and fair for us all. A reality that is equal and fair, is NOT the same for all/ rather understanding: if you never worked a day in your

life/ that AIN'T the same, as those who rarely took a day off, but worked all their life instead. You don't deserve the same reward in society. However society can choose to make access more fair/ thereby granting freedom; between these extremes by the liberty defined as: **“Limited capitalism”**. The right to demand as a society: WE HAVE NEEDS TOO/ you have taken enough for this year, or your own individual life. WE HAVE RIGHTS AND NEEDS TOO! *A question in redress for the people themselves.*

LIBERTY, is the essence of our values as a society discovered and determined to be a place of justice for all/ an equality without regard to human differences/ as life in peace, harmony, and thereby happiness intended for all. That does not come with “I WANT/ I WANT/ I WANT; OR THE PRIDE OF MAKING THE REST LOSER”. Rather that reality of life and society is dedicated to those who are willing to define and create for themselves the law that governs their lives. NOT as in politics, whereby we are encouraged to “vote for someone who will vote for me”. But as a society of people discovered in democracy: As owners, who shall vote directly upon the truly great issues of our time as ourselves/ one vote, for the law, as myself. Not for any other to represent me/ BUT, MY VOTE/ OUR VOTE AS A SOCIETY, on the laws, that affect us all. Another change, for discussion in redress.

This is democracy. NOT the turning over of society to the few to decide. Whether they are voted into office or not/ but the relationship of society to ourselves as owners of this nation proven by the reality WE SHALL CREATE OUR OWN LAW. We shall govern ourselves, by that law; ; and through

the employees sworn to uphold that law or who will be punished accordingly.

Money is neither enemy or friend/ it is a tool of society; governing the relationship that is the truth between what has been done/ and what has been promised to be done. The current situation of nothing but lies and fantasy in money shall not stand. Truth attacks the liar, thief, and cheat/ BUT TRUTH also BLESSES those who have honestly worked and chosen for the value and descriptions that bring justice, equality, and fair play to life. Choose truth, and you build a future for life/ accept lies, and you end with countless threats and extinction; as is real today.

LIFE MUST COME FIRST FOR THIS PLANET, and this nation! Not simply whatever you want, for you: but what is truly in the best interest of the nation as well. That is proven on the battlefield/ because HONORABLE soldiers put themselves in harms way & die, if necessary; for a greater cause than themselves. That same reality is required of you: TO CHOOSE FOR LIFE AND PLANET, instead of constant greed, pride, and selfishness.

This trial faces the truth: that we the people have come to the consequence and reality, we can no longer choose “the simple way; of letting money decide”. Today we must choose for life, or life and environment die as a planet; taking us along.

Freedom is not, “I must pay because of you”/ liberty is not, “we can make you do anything we want”: RATHER JUSTICE AND FAIR PLAY choose by the truth we have built, as the foundation of our law, and our nation. This is our way into the future. By vote, and by law created as WE THE

PEOPLE. WE, are the owners here/ the constitution grants us the right to be, as a state or nation by who we choose to be. The reality of LEGAL discussion for the purposes required: deciding a constitutional truth or law for ourselves: as is REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES for the nation. Gives us all, the foundations necessary to understand what is true, and therefrom decide for ourselves: **IF WE WILL let the truth and reality of our time decide for us.** Or continue to be led by university fools: playing with our lives/ gambling/ “soiled diapers”: the consequence, a people lost to greed, lies, cheats, want, and failure.

GRANTING THE WRIT

Means, that we will investigate the foundations of democracy, and learn as the reality of we the people: what is our truth/ what is our future if we don't act/ what is our decision as a nation/ and what shall we do, in this time, dedicated to the reality of who we are as individual lives and nation or world. Who we will kill in the future, by the tragedy of resource carnage.

We the people will learn as OWNERS of this UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: What are, our options/ what are the decisions that matter/ what is the difference between want and reality/ where is our freedom, and what is our liberty. We will decide, WHO LEADS, and who follows: we the people, or our employees.

Or more simply **WE WILL BECOME THE PROMISE OF TRUE DEMOCRACY, “we DO, rule ourselves by laws we establish”!**

You will learn, the meaning of the words RESPECT LIFE, because if life, does not

come first/ WE ALL DIE. We are too many people on earth, for any other conclusion to be valid.

DEMOCRACY IN ACTION

Does NOT entitle anyone to simply overrun any business or industry with their want or their need. That is never the intent of democracy/ as that is tyranny not freedom or liberty. Rather this trial seeks to identify and determine WHERE the truth shall decide, between LIFE FIRST/ OR MONEY first? This decision requires: Where are the elements and essence of freedom, that shall surrender to the consequences of liberty? Because our need as a society demands that this shall be so/ for the sake of life on earth. Not by minimal causes/ but by distinctions created from the people; that every courtroom can and shall from this day forward adhere too, and preform as justice for the people.

That means the ultimate responsibility is ours.

The critical decision that accepts NO MORE EXCUSES/ shall be yours!

Contrary to popular opinion, democracy is NOT a political definition/ **but a legal right to be DIRECTLY involved and thereby determine what OUR NATION, as we the people shall be.**

The role of the court here, IS NOT to decide the full weight and reality of freedom versus liberty/ as that job belongs to the owners themselves as is defined by WE THE PEOPLE. Rather the role of the court is to create and defend REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES; so that the people themselves have their say.

Deciding for themselves, the rights and limits given to one individual or a tiny few/ versus the rights of all, the future of all, and the destiny of this America; as, we the people.