

IN CHAMPAIGN COUNTY COURT  
101 E. MAIN ST, URBANA IL 61801  
[www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/](http://www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/)

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR  
2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873  
<http://www.justtalking3.info>  
Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
GOVERNOR; P. QUINN  
207 state house, Springfield IL 62706  
ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100  
W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274  
IL Attorney general: 1776 E. Washington st Urbana IL 61803  
Assistant attorney general: Joshua I. Grant 500 south second street,  
Springfield IL 62706

DATED: 4/ 20/ 11

CASE #: 10-MR-853

Titled: words for court

In this court on April 20, 2011 during this trial 10-mr 853; the single reality of this hearing is: **that the plaintiff shall be informed**, as to why the leaders of this state government called IL; believe that they need not obey the constitution of this STATE, in this nation USA. The defendant calls for this hearing fully knowing this trial; is the cause called redress, for the people of this state of IL. Therefore he or she shall give their answer to this people. It is that simple. Explain is the constitution the law ruling our democracy/ our employees, or not?

No other excuse shall be heard until this matter is resolved. A transcript will be bought, and published to inform the people

of IL, as to exactly what you say. The legal description of a constitution is: the exact agreement of the people and their heritage involved in creating the definitions of this our democracy called the state of IL. Or more simply the limits and guarantees, that our employees owe to us, for the right to exercise or establish our needs as a society; by the introduction of law, work, taxes, and all that physical governments mean. A constitution is not “free reigns over us”/ a constitution is our control over you. Therefore explain, why you fail? Believing yourselves to be rulers, rather than employees. Simple as you can.

This paper then establishes, the plaintiffs initial argument/ and is submitted with the purpose of publishing prior to trial. No transcript needed for me.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE:**

To each and every participant listed above, at the address indicated above there has been one copy of this document entitled sent by US mail. First class / postage pre-paid. Issue is taken with the length of time since the last filing. That would be due to this length of time, unjustified by the nature of the case.

IN CHAMPAIGN COUNTY COURT  
101 E. MAIN ST, URBANA IL 61801  
[www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/](http://www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/)

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR  
2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873  
<http://www.justtalking3.info>  
Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
GOVERNOR; P. QUINN  
207 state house, Springfield IL 62706  
ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100  
W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274  
IL Attorney general: 1776 E. Washington st Urbana IL 61803  
Assistant attorney general: Joshua I. Grant 500 south second street,  
Springfield IL 62706

DATED: 4/ 20/ 11

CASE #: 10-MR-853

Titled: words for court

In this court on April 20, 2011 during this trial 10-mr 853; the single reality of this hearing is: **that the plaintiff shall be informed**, as to why the leaders of this state government called IL; believe that they need not obey the constitution of this STATE, in this nation USA. The defendant calls for this hearing fully knowing this trial; is the cause called redress, for the people of this state of IL. Therefore he or she shall give their answer to this people. It is that simple. Explain is the constitution the law ruling our democracy/ our employees, or not?

No other excuse shall be heard until this matter is resolved. A transcript will be bought, and published to inform the people

of IL, as to exactly what you say. The legal description of a constitution is: the exact agreement of the people and their heritage involved in creating the definitions of this our democracy called the state of IL. Or more simply the limits and guarantees, that our employees owe to us, for the right to exercise or establish our needs as a society; by the introduction of law, work, taxes, and all that physical governments mean. A constitution is not “free reigns over us”/ a constitution is our control over you. Therefore explain, why you fail? Believing yourselves to be rulers, rather than employees. Simple as you can.

This paper then establishes, the plaintiffs initial argument/ and is submitted with the purpose of publishing prior to trial. No transcript needed for me.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE:**

To each and every participant listed above, at the address indicated above there has been one copy of this document entitled sent by US mail. First class / postage pre-paid. Issue is taken with the length of time since the last filing. That would be due to this length of time, unjustified by the nature of the case.

IN CHAMPAIGN COUNTY COURT  
101 E. MAIN ST, URBANA IL 61801  
[www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/](http://www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/)

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR  
2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873  
<http://www.justtalking3.info>  
Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
GOVERNOR; P. QUINN  
207 state house, Springfield IL 62706  
ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100  
W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274  
IL Attorney general: 1776 E. Washington st Urbana IL 61803  
Assistant attorney general: Joshua I. Grant 500 south second street,  
Springfield IL 62706

DATED: 4/ 20/ 11

CASE #: 10-MR-853

Titled: words for court

In this court on April 20, 2011 during this trial 10-mr 853; the single reality of this hearing is: **that the plaintiff shall be informed**, as to why the leaders of this state government called IL; believe that they need not obey the constitution of this STATE, in this nation USA. The defendant calls for this hearing fully knowing this trial; is the cause called redress, for the people of this state of IL. Therefore he or she shall give their answer to this people. It is that simple. Explain is the constitution the law ruling our democracy/ our employees, or not?

No other excuse shall be heard until this matter is resolved. A transcript will be bought, and published to inform the people

of IL, as to exactly what you say. The legal description of a constitution is: the exact agreement of the people and their heritage involved in creating the definitions of this our democracy called the state of IL. Or more simply the limits and guarantees, that our employees owe to us, for the right to exercise or establish our needs as a society; by the introduction of law, work, taxes, and all that physical governments mean. A constitution is not “free reigns over us”/ a constitution is our control over you. Therefore explain, why you fail? Believing yourselves to be rulers, rather than employees. Simple as you can.

This paper then establishes, the plaintiffs initial argument/ and is submitted with the purpose of publishing prior to trial. No transcript needed for me.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE:**

To each and every participant listed above, at the address indicated above there has been one copy of this document entitled sent by US mail. First class / postage pre-paid. Issue is taken with the length of time since the last filing. That would be due to this length of time, unjustified by the nature of the case.

IN CHAMPAIGN COUNTY COURT  
101 E. MAIN ST, URBANA IL 61801  
[www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/](http://www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/)

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR  
2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873  
<http://www.justtalking3.info>  
Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
GOVERNOR; P. QUINN  
207 state house, Springfield IL 62706  
ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100  
W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274  
IL Attorney general: 1776 E. Washington st Urbana IL 61803  
Assistant attorney general: Joshua I. Grant 500 south second street,  
Springfield IL 62706

DATED: 4/ 20/ 11

CASE #: 10-MR-853

Titled: words for court

In this court on April 20, 2011 during this trial 10-mr 853; the single reality of this hearing is: **that the plaintiff shall be informed**, as to why the leaders of this state government called IL; believe that they need not obey the constitution of this STATE, in this nation USA. The defendant calls for this hearing fully knowing this trial; is the cause called redress, for the people of this state of IL. Therefore he or she shall give their answer to this people. It is that simple. Explain is the constitution the law ruling our democracy/ our employees, or not?

No other excuse shall be heard until this matter is resolved. A transcript will be bought, and published to inform the people

of IL, as to exactly what you say. The legal description of a constitution is: the exact agreement of the people and their heritage involved in creating the definitions of this our democracy called the state of IL. Or more simply the limits and guarantees, that our employees owe to us, for the right to exercise or establish our needs as a society; by the introduction of law, work, taxes, and all that physical governments mean. A constitution is not “free reigns over us”/ a constitution is our control over you. Therefore explain, why you fail? Believing yourselves to be rulers, rather than employees. Simple as you can.

This paper then establishes, the plaintiffs initial argument/ and is submitted with the purpose of publishing prior to trial. No transcript needed for me.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE:**

To each and every participant listed above, at the address indicated above there has been one copy of this document entitled sent by US mail. First class / postage pre-paid. Issue is taken with the length of time since the last filing. That would be due to this length of time, unjustified by the nature of the case.



IN CHAMPAIGN COUNTY COURT  
101 E. MAIN ST, URBANA IL 61801  
[www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/](http://www.co.champaign.il.us/circuit/)

JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR  
2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873  
<http://www.justtalking3.info>  
Vs

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
GOVERNOR; P. QUINN  
207 state house, Springfield IL 62706  
ILLINOIS DEPT OF REVENUE; James R Thompson center, concourse level 100  
W. Randolph st, Chicago IL 60601-3274  
IL Attorney general: 1776 E. Washington st Urbana IL 61803  
Assistant attorney general: Joshua I. Grant 500 south second street,  
Springfield IL 62706

DATED: 4/ 20/ 11

CASE #: 10-MR-853

Titled: words for court

In this court on April 20, 2011 during this trial 10-mr 853; the single reality of this hearing is: **that the plaintiff shall be informed**, as to why the leaders of this state government called IL; believe that they need not obey the constitution of this STATE, in this nation USA. The defendant calls for this hearing fully knowing this trial; is the cause called redress, for the people of this state of IL. Therefore he or she shall give their answer to this people. It is that simple. Explain is the constitution the law ruling our democracy/ our employees, or not?

No other excuse shall be heard until this matter is resolved. A transcript will be bought, and published to inform the people

of IL, as to exactly what you say. The legal description of a constitution is: the exact agreement of the people and their heritage involved in creating the definitions of this our democracy called the state of IL. Or more simply the limits and guarantees, that our employees owe to us, for the right to exercise or establish our needs as a society; by the introduction of law, work, taxes, and all that physical governments mean. A constitution is not “free reigns over us”/ a constitution is our control over you. Therefore explain, why you fail? Believing yourselves to be rulers, rather than employees. Simple as you can.

This paper then establishes, the plaintiffs initial argument/ and is submitted with the purpose of publishing prior to trial. No transcript needed for me.

#### **PROOF OF SERVICE:**

To each and every participant listed above, at the address indicated above there has been one copy of this document entitled sent by US mail. First class / postage pre-paid. Issue is taken with the length of time since the last filing. That would be due to this length of time, unjustified by the nature of the case.