

In champaign county court  
101 E. Main st. Urbana IL 61873

James F. Osterbur  
2191 county road 2500 E.  
St. Joseph IL 61873  
www.justtalking3.info

versus

State of ILLINOIS  
Gifford, IL; police department  
dated 12/ 8/ 11

RE: The citation for: a failure to come to a complete stop, in the town of Gifford IL. At a stop sign therein. Ticket number 3171 Gifford police. The charge \$120 dollars. **I, the accused, DEMANDING A JURY TRIAL.**

[THE SECOND FILING]

Critical to the understanding, of what is or is not a behavior or decision as is allowed by freedom, rather than established by rules: **IS THE CONSTANT RELATIONSHIP**, that in order to “rule me”/ you must prove, that I did or did not do something that initiated or caused or contrived a deliberate harm.

If you fail that, then the foundation that is freedom exerts control. Because freedom is not resolved by rules/ it is established by facts. The fact is: that a rule, is not a law (an assertion by society itself, this cannot be allowed). The fact is a rule is the assembly of a tiny few people who have decided they can or cannot control us: without the definitions, of minute detail/ which then give them the authority to take freedom away. In this case, the freedom to decide: who, how, where, or why this extreme penalty in dollars should be surrendered. They steal it, with nothing more than “punctuation marks”/ as is considered common in court. **Because with rules, justice no longer matters.**

**As is proven by the return of case 10-MR-906.** A case , in champaign county court/ already established in federal court (by several filings on both sides), as adequately subpoenaed: for federal trial. **DISMISSED**, for irrelevant rules, which the state refused to obey.

Which was returned to state court for dismissal/ because the federal judge could not dismiss a constitutional law: so they sent it back for dismissal, under the rule “He didn’t send a proper subpoena”; BY STATE court rules. **Even though the defense refused to provide the names, addresses, and other, as demanded by the rule of DUE PROCESS. Thereby denied: on all counts of justice, fair play, and equality.** The rules are then established by tyranny (we don’t have to obey them ourselves/ “they are just for the little people, the rats and mice who infest our world”. The courts fundamentally proven traitor/ by choosing against democracy: by refusing the law itself as is our constitution both state and federal. The law, OUR DECISION AS SOCIETY ITSELF, in democracy over our employees: DOES NOT allow for that behavior. A criminal act. Our constitution as redress of grievances: PROVES WE THE PEOPLE, are rulers here/ the owners of this state and nation. **REFUSED!**

I am sent the opportunity, “to be a better robot”; and pay them more money, by attending a class in traffic safety: with the promise “the extortion” will then stop if you prove to be a better robot. Or, DON’T think for yourself/ just follow the rules exactly, **so that we have FULL AND COMPLETE CONTROL** over all your actions, behaviors, or reactions. Rules, that are not fully functional for the protection of all the people, or critically important to individual people: as a decision to protect/ rather than a decision to harass. Are the means to take freedom away. In this particular situation, the policeman appears not to have stopped at the HWY 136 ( assumed by the immediate stop after that stop sign). Which means he chose to directly follow me onto the highway without obeying the rules/ which proves I acted and chose properly, OR he could not have done that, without causing an accident, and potentially killing someone. No cause exists, **WHEN IT IS CLEARLY PROVEN**, my behavior or driving is then superior to his. No cause exists to endanger life, for a tiny punitive offense without substance: which means he chose to drive recklessly, and endanger the public himself. For no greater **REAL** cause than to harass and extort money from me. **AFTER OVER 50 YEARS** of operating machinery, I **AM** the greater expert in the safe operation of machinery as is proven by my record: than he. The failure to recognize that fact, is an assertion of tyranny: **WE WANT CONTROL/** or more simply “you are the garbage underfoot”; do exactly as we say: or we will punish you more. Where is truth/ where is freedom/ where is the autonomy of democracy: when we do not make the rules ourselves? When we do not declare what is fair or justified, ourselves? When we are subjected **BY RULERS/** to their want, whim,

and expected to be obedient at all levels of their rules: or be punished. That ain't freedom/ its tyranny.

Those who believe in rules, the robotic actions of a humanity that cannot think for itself/ "because it ain't in the rule book": are fools. The law (our liberties, governed by freedom and reality)/ is not a rule: rather the law is a foundation which proves justice/ by being fair to all, and granting equal disposition of penalties without making examples of anyone. The rules are a deliberate assertion of a few/ that they can control us all, by establishing boundaries which then give them control, and authority to inflict damage, establish punishments, and control society: because you infringed upon their version of "these are the best robots". Or we want only those robots who obey us fully/ cause after all, "we the few, are like gods, compared to them". They must do what we say/ "cause its our world: NOT theirs". Is that not the function of rules: to divide and conquer freedom? Indeed it is.

The rules of the road are foundations in critical composition: which must be obeyed to insure the best possible outcome for all other people. That means literally: you can do what you want with your life, as is true of freedom/ but don't endanger us. There are rules which are important to us all/ as is stopping at a stop sign, to insure no traffic is coming; no danger is present to anyone. But those rules do not assume or expect "perfect compliance"/ because none of us are perfect. And if we were perfect according to the rules someone else has made/ then surely the humanity is traded for what is more descriptively called "a robot". Programmed by someone else/ to do exactly what THEY say. Some years back, I installed an electrical service in a remodeled business. The power company employee was out: "and couldn't find any way in her rule book/ that a four inch pipe no longer needed" could be discarded. I refused, she phoned and got her superior "the engineer" out/ he could not find any way that a service which had changed from a restaurant; to a "office space"; less than half the electrical need/ inspected and passed by the city could discard a pipe no one would use, in their rule book. Time restraints did not allow for a court battle/ consequently the owner paid several hundred dollars more; "Because the rule robots, can't think for themselves". Even though, when the crew of 6 or more from the power company came out and said: "Why in the hell did you do that"/ they thought this is such a simple matter it could be changed: they were wrong, and too late to matter. Nonetheless, this is the power of rules/ the disgrace of humanity, attacked by a few who believe their words or descriptions alone, are enough "to make society perfect": if everyone would just do what they say. The foundation of freedom is:

that unless I am literally threatening you in some substantial way/ not harassing you or someone else, in ways clearly not friendly; or causing irrefutable harm to the environment or other : then I am free to do as I please. That includes “safety negotiating the road/ endangering no one”, whether it is by “YOUR rule book” or not. Fear is an enemy/ not a friend. Fear will strip you of freedom, overthrow governments, dissolve society: because it is a command that refuses freedom and insists, “we cannot be wrong; **even in such tiny things as this**”. While it is true *we cannot be wrong about true threats/* it is also true, if you surrender your freedom to fear: **you get tyrants instead of democracy. Because that is proven in history: every time.** The rules will attack you/ the ruler will then own you, because you didn’t do, “exactly” as he or she said. Is that not so!

**This trial demands a jury: SHALL DECIDE, if redress of grievances/ a guarantee of both state and nation constitutional law, SHALL BE ENFORCED.** So that we the people can decide for ourselves, what the rules will or will not allow/ for the sake of our society, the reality of our freedom, and the descriptions of our liberty to rule ourselves by law; THAT WE CREATE.

The foundation is very simple: IF MY FREEDOM, MY POSSESSIONS; can be so easily attacked by a uniform/ with penalties that constitute extortion. Then, **WHERE IS OUR DEMOCRACY? If our constitutional law IS NOT OBEYED by our employees/ THEN, WHERE IS OUR DEMOCRACY?**

The answer is: In the hands of a jury!

Are there not, “many more issues of government and democracy that need REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES to establish “WE THE PEOPLE ARE OWNERS HERE”?

**LET REDRESS RULE/ IT IS OUR DEMOCRACY! IT IS WE THE PEOPLE SHALL RULE OURSELVES BY LAW, that we create for ourselves.**

**Choose then: to enforce the constitutional law called redress, and demand of this judge and this court: TO INFORM THE PEOPLE, and call for REDRESS.** Which means literally, within a courtroom of this state of IL: we will begin the process of defining and creating our future, as state or nation/ OURSELVES. Through accountability from our employees. Through a direct investigation of all facts that are deemed important to us, as decided by a jury in redress court. Through a trial, that then begins to determine who has or has not acted criminally: among our employees in government service. Or others. Which means: justice and fair play, become the tools we decide they must be.

**Or**, more simply: as it has been clearly proven by our leaders/ that they cannot conceive of a world that is not threatened with extinction, choosing lies and insanity instead/ or governed by spending which is an absolute failure, and a **deliberate theft**. The demand of rules, **instead of liberty as chosen by ourselves**: is “social engineering: the diplomas who believe they are superior and can rebuild us, as they desire”! *IT IS TIME, “to govern ourselves/ by the laws we create, and the foundations we establish, and the democracy we prove is in fact: \_\_\_\_\_*  
*WE THE PEOPLE.*

**That begins and ends with redress/ because it is the only way; apart from civil war: TO ESTABLISH DEMOCRACY!** Choose war, and you will lose everything. Choose nothing, and every threat of extinction will prove true.  
**MAKE YOUR DECISION!**

proof of service:

I, James F. Osterbur, do hereby declare and prove that I have sent in this day December 8, 2011; by first class US mail service/ with postage prepaid. A copy of this filing within the court and to :

STATE OF IL attorney general office  
500 S. Second st. Springfield IL 62706

and city of Gifford, chamber of commerce  
box 308  
308 S. Main st. Gifford IL 61847