

TO THE IRS: with regard to your letter dated August 15, 2011

from JAMES FRANK OSTERBUR

2191 county road 2500 E.

St. Joseph IL 61873

The tax in question as dated December 31, 2005 has not yet been paid/ HOWEVER it is, as it is intended to be; incorporated into the trials that became the current US SUPREME COURT CASE 11-100

That is a case demanding redress of grievances/ demanding accountability rather than lies. A listing of where did all this money go/ and who got paid. A CRITICAL DEMAND FOR THE GUARANTEED RIGHTS PROMISED BY THE CONSTITUTION, within the first amendment, as that redress of grievances for the people. Which is our authority to “take over government” if our employees are perceived to be lying/ cheating/ or stealing from us and our nation. Or if we simply demand MORE: than voting for someone to vote for me; as would be characteristic and valid within true democracy.

As a consequence to this/ due to the fact that I cannot take my government to court unless they consent “by their own words”. The reality is: UNLESS MONEY is involved the employees: do not consent. Therefore tax is withheld, and the demand for contractual definitions have been sustained.

The preamble to the US CONSTITUTION states a clear and certain path by which our employees are intended to deliver to us all , the rights and realities and rewards of our democracy as a nation. If you bother to read it: NOT one single percept of

function or fundamental acceptance by the employees current to this government and prior has been followed or accepted. The most blatant of all cancers is: the fact I/we are refused the most fundamental of all contractual rights and guarantees by our employees. Which is LEGAL: redress of grievances/ A FIRST AMENDMENT LAW. You have no right to consent/ it's the law, and every "high official" has been sworn to protect/ defend/ and obey it. Therefore criminals or traitors or treason has occurred in this denial.

The contract which is our guarantee by constitutional law: that we the people ARE ENTITLED/ has been broken. Therefore the force of current courtroom demands have been established to "fix this problem". Your inquiry here, falls into that gap and failure of those employed to be WHAT WE ASKED YOU TO BE. Your inquiry here, by law: asserts that the need and duty accepted to defend this nation and its democracy from those who are literally stealing and destroying our rights and authority as a people from this government. Are not welcomed. Until the trial above has been finished, in whatever form that will take. There is no further discussion to be raised. This matter is functionally raised in the trial US DISTRICT (central division of IL) 10-2257; of which the IRS is a participant. WHEN the trial is over/ then, you may make me an offer, for doing the job of government for you/ and adhering to democracy by its law. Or take me to court, at your discretion. I don't say I won't pay/ rather I demand my day in court; and do expect that the thousands spent by me; SHALL be honored as a duty accepted. Rather than a denial.