In champaign county court 101 E. Main st. Urbana IL 61873

> James F. Osterbur 2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph IL 61873 www.justtalking3.info

> > versus

State of ILLINOIS Gifford, IL; police department dated 12/ 8/ 11

RE: The citation for: a failure to come to a complete stop, in the town of Gifford IL. At a stop sign therein. Ticket number 3171 Gifford police. The charge \$120 dollars. **I, the accused, DEMANDING A JURY TRIAL.**

[THE SECOND FILING]

Critical to the understanding, of what is or is not a behavior or decision as is allowed by freedom, rather than established by rules: IS THE CONSTANT RELATIONSHIP, that in order to "rule me"/ you must prove, that I did or did not do something that initiated or caused or contrived a deliberate harm.

If you fail that, then the foundation that is freedom exerts control. Because freedom is not resolved by rules/ it is established by facts. The fact is: that a rule, is not a law (an assertion by society itself, this cannot be allowed). The fact is a rule is the assembly of a tiny few people who have decided they can or cannot control us: without the definitions, of minute detail/ which then give them the authority to take freedom away. In this case, the freedom to decide: who, how, where, or why this extreme penalty in dollars should be surrendered. They steal it, with nothing more than "punctuation marks"/ as is considered common in court. **Because with rules, justice no longer matters.**

As is proven by the return of case 10-MR-906. A case, in champaign county court/ already established in federal court (by several filings on both sides), as adequately subpoenaed: for federal trial. DISMISSED, for irrelevant rules, which the state refused to obey.

Which was returned to state court for dismissal/ because the federal judge could not dismiss a constitutional law: so they sent it back for dismissal, under the rule "He didn't send a proper subpoena"; BY STATE court rules. Even though the defense refused to provide the names, addresses, and other, as demanded by the rule of DUE PROCESS. Thereby denied: on all counts of justice, fair play, and equality. The rules are then established by tyranny (we don't have to obey them ourselves/ "they are just for the little people, the rats and mice who infest our world". The courts fundamentally proven traitor/ by choosing against democracy: by refusing the law itself as is our constitution both state and federal. The law, OUR DECISION AS SOCIETY ITSELF, in democracy over our employees: DOES NOT allow for that behavior. A criminal act. Our constitution as redress of grievances: PROVES WE THE PEOPLE, are rulers here/ the owners of this state and nation. **REFUSED!**

I am sent the opportunity, "to be a better robot"; and pay them more money, by attending a class in traffic safety: with the promise "the extortion" will then stop if you prove to be a better robot. Or, DON'T think for yourself/just follow the rules exactly, so that we have FULL AND COMPLETE CONTROL over all your actions, behaviors, or reactions. Rules, that are not fully functional for the protection of all the people, or critically important to individual people: as a decision to protect/ rather than a decision to harass. Are the means to take freedom away. In this particular situation, the policeman appears not to have stopped at the HWY 136 (assumed by the immediate stop after that stop sign). Which means he chose to directly follow me onto the highway without obeying the rules/ which proves I acted and chose properly, OR he could not have done that, without causing an accident, and potentially killing someone. No cause exists, WHEN IT IS CLEARLY PROVEN, my behavior or driving is then superior to his. No cause exists to endanger life, for a tiny punitive offense without substance: which means he chose to drive recklessly, and endanger the public himself. For no greater REAL cause than to harass and extort money from me. AFTER OVER 50 YEARS of operating machinery, I AM the greater expert in the safe operation of machinery as is proven by my record: than he. The failure to recognize that fact, is an assertion of tyranny: WE WANT CONTROL/ or more simply "you are the garbage underfoot"; do exactly as we say: or we will punish you more. Where is truth/ where is freedom/ where is the autonomy of democracy: when we do not make the rules ourselves? When we do not declare what is fair or justified, ourselves? When we are subjected BY RULERS/ to their want, whim,

and expected to be obedient at all levels of their rules: or be punished. That ain't freedom/ its tyranny.

Those who believe in rules, the robotic actions of a humanity that cannot think for itself/ "because it ain't in the rule book": are fools. The law (our liberties, governed by freedom and reality)/ is not a rule: rather the law is a foundation which proves justice/ by being fair to all, and granting equal disposition of penalties without making examples of anyone. The rules are a deliberate assertion of a few/ that they can control us all, by establishing boundaries which then give them control, and authority to inflict damage, establish punishments, and control society: because you infringed upon their version of "these are the best robots". Or we want only those robots who obey us fully/ cause after all, "we the few, are like gods, compared to them". They must do what we say/ "cause its our world: NOT theirs". Is that not the function of rules: to divide and conquer freedom? Indeed it is.

The rules of the road are foundations in critical composition: which must be obeyed to insure the best possible outcome for all other people. That means literally: you can do what you want with your life, as is true of freedom/ but don't endanger us. There are rules which are important to us all/ as is stopping at a stop sign, to insure no traffic is coming; no danger is present to anyone. But those rules do not assume or expect "perfect compliance"/ because none of us are perfect. And if we were perfect according to the rules someone else has made/ then surely the humanity is traded for what is more descriptively called "a robot". Programmed by someone else/ to do exactly what THEY say. Some years back, I installed an electrical service in a remodeled business. The power company employee was out: "and couldn't find any way in her rule book/ that a four inch pipe no longer needed" could be discarded. I refused, she phoned and got her superior "the engineer" out/ he could not find any way that a service which had changed from a restaurant; to a "office space"; less than half the electrical need/ inspected and passed by the city could discard a pipe no one would use, in their rule book. Time restraints did not allow for a court battle/ consequently the owner paid several hundred dollars more; "Because the rule robots, can't think for themselves". Even though, when the crew of 6 or more from the power company came out and said: "Why in the hell did you do that"/ they thought this is such a simple matter it could be changed: they were wrong, and too late to matter. Nonetheless, this is the power of rules/ the disgrace of humanity, attacked by a few who believe their words or descriptions alone, are enough "to make society perfect": if everyone would just do what they say. The foundation of freedom is:

that unless I am literally threatening you in some substantial way/ not harassing you or someone else, in ways clearly not friendly; or causing irrefutable harm to the environment or other : then I am free to do as I please. That includes "safety negotiating the road/ endangering no one", whether it is by "YOUR rule book" or not. Fear is an enemy/ not a friend. Fear will strip you of freedom, overthrow governments, dissolve society: because it is a command that refuses freedom and insists, "we cannot be wrong; **even in such tiny things as this**". While it is true <u>we cannot be wrong about true threats/</u> it is also true, if you surrender your freedom to fear: you get tyrants instead of democracy. Because that is proven in history: every time. The rules will attack you/ the ruler will then own you, because you didn't do, "exactly" as he or she said. Is that not so!

This trial demands a jury: SHALL DECIDE, if redress of grievances/ a guarantee of both state and nation constitutional law, SHALL BE ENFORCED. So that we the people can decide for ourselves, what the rules will or will not allow/ for the sake of our society, the reality of our freedom, and the descriptions of our liberty to rule ourselves by law; THAT WE CREATE.

The foundation is very simple: IF MY FREEDOM, MY POSSESSIONS; can be so easily attacked by a uniform/ with penalties that constitute extortion. Then, WHERE IS OUR DEMOCRACY? If our constitutional law IS NOT OBEYED by our employees/ <u>THEN, WHERE IS OUR DEMOCRACY?</u>

The answer is: In the hands of a jury!

Are there not, "many more issues of government and democracy that need <u>REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES to establish</u> "WE THE PEOPLE ARE <u>OWNERS HERE</u>"?

LET REDRESS RULE/ IT IS OUR DEMOCRACY! IT IS WE THE PEOPLE SHALL RULE OURSELVES BY LAW, that we create for ourselves.

Choose then: to enforce the constitutional law called redress, and demand of this judge and this court: TO INFORM THE PEOPLE, and call for REDRESS. Which means literally, within a courtroom of this state of IL: we will begin the process of defining and creating our future, as state or nation/ OURSELVES. Through accountability from our employees. Through a direct investigation of all facts that are deemed important to us, as decided by a jury in redress court. Through a trial, that then begins to determine who has or has not acted criminally: among our employees in government service. Or others. Which means: justice and fair play, become the tools we decide they must be. **Or**, more simply: as it has been clearly proven by our leaders/ that they cannot conceive of a world that is not threatened with extinction, choosing lies and insanity instead/ or governed by spending which is an absolute failure, and **a deliberate theft.** The demand of rules, **instead of liberty as chosen by ourselves**: is "social engineering: the diplomas who believe they are superior and can rebuild us, as they desire"! <u>IT IS TIME, "to govern ourselves/ by the laws we create, and the foundations we establish, and the democracy we prove is in fact: <u>WE THE PEOPLE.</u></u>

That begins and ends with redress/ because it is the only way; apart from civil war: TO ESTABLISH DEMOCRACY! Choose war, and you will lose everything. Choose nothing, and every threat of extinction will prove true. MAKE YOUR DECISION!

proof of service:

I, James F. Osterbur, do hereby declare and prove that I have sent in this day December 8, 2011; by first class US mail service/ with postage prepaid. A copy of this filing within the court and to :

STATE OF IL attorney general office 500 S. Second st. Springfield IL 62706

and city of Gifford, chamber of commerce box 308 308 S. Main st. Gifford IL 61847