

This is then a legal beginning, for the removal of all unnecessary field anchors holding the electrical distribution line, throughout this USA/ this state of IL.

This critical legal standing “initiating brief”: to establish the legitimate claim: these are the necessary litigants, with a personal commitment/ or interest in this case. Necessary to assert the filing of a **class action lawsuit** Fed R. Civ. Pr 23 (b) (2)/ or as chosen, by those involved: not yet a trial! *one hundred thousand signatures sought to begin. Making this a federal trial. Ten thousand sought for simply ; state of IL.*

Starting with the following short presentation: for use in court, and this petition; is as follows.

We begin with the simple description: **of a cable held line, structurally established by a ground anchor placed roughly 20 feet into the agricultural field.** The composition of the arrangement is that an electrical distribution line going parallel with the road alongside the field/ has been “tied” to a perpendicular line that starts at the dead end of a different road; **moving the anchor to the opposite side of the road/ solves the problem.** By using the common easement road ditch; to the town of Royal/ installing one more pole. In this initiating of case, between the landowner and the electrical distribution company involved: they say no/ **unless I pay: 3-5 thousand dollars. Which clearly means: they KNOW, it can be moved!**

The primary elements of contention are follows. We then begin with the assessment of rights; and assemble the following confrontation of principles involved in this currently “simply a discussion” decision. The *information* site “JUST TALKING 7”; in use for this. LINK IS www.justtalking7.info search or find for the in-site link: **“electrical lines”** [Electronic petition](#), please sign to show your support!

IN TERMS OF THE FARMING OPERATIONS; specifically due to that anchor.

1. the operator of the farming equipment must now; establish a path around the anchor/ or come up to that anchor stop; go around, back up, and begin again compacting the ground; or trampling its plants and producing an impact with financial consequences.
2. Driving around the anchor with large equipment requires very sharp turns on the equipment itself; which demands very significant stress on the components in the ground. That fact causes wear that would not otherwise occur/ and that has significant financial consequences; because the equipment is NOT cheap.
3. Driving around, or up to the anchor places the farmer and his or her equipment in jeopardy of hitting the anchor with each piece of equipment that must be maneuvered around that anchored cable, and it is not well marked; along with the fact that an average piece of equipment being used today is over 60 feet wide/ traveling at 5- 7 mph. It is no small feat that numerous anchors in fields everywhere are not contacted by field equipment; causing significant and expensive harm to the field equipment and the electrical line. Placing the farmer in jeopardy of a potential electrical line break; which could cause loss of life. Placing the farmer or landowner in jeopardy of a lawsuit for damaging that line or causing any other loss: property ownership can establish. A financial consequence.
4. On average an anchor will be driven around in the field a total of in spring: once for tillage (occasionally twice)/ once for planting (occasionally twice)/ once (occasionally twice) for fertilizer/ once or twice; for chemicals. In fall, that same line anchor must be driven around once for combining operations/ once for tillage/ and some fields or operators will do once more for a variety of different methods in farming. Making that anchor an obstruction roughly 7 times on average; each time requiring “a price shall be paid”; each season. A financial consequence.
5. The loss of acreage is small; roughly 135 square meters on average (1500 sq feet); or currently about \$30 dollars in direct “field loss/ crop loss”. But it also requires

management of the weeds; which requires tractor and mower and individual trimming of the area; as a separate reality to the farming operations/ usually done twice a year. Since this has to be driven to the assigned anchor; that takes an hour, plus costs. And since it must be trimmed, that takes another hour and truck and equipment; so many people opt for stronger chemicals which also costs a financial consequence. Tractors don't run for free; every hour costs money.

IN OPPOSITION: *this electrical distribution company states:*

*they have only one argument, "delivered vocally": even though their representative engineers have said moving the anchor is entirely feasible. **The argument is:** we have the right of easement/ and nothing will make us move that anchor unless you pay all costs we will charge you for doing so. Because there are financial consequences to us. The company requests (3-5 thousand dollars, to move it).*

THE LEGAL POINT OF CONTENTION:

This anchor exists where and how it exists; **because the electrical distribution company saved themselves the price of a pole: simple as that. And placed the expense in operations onto the farmer instead; a reality of abuse in easement rights, that must be corrected.** Or more simply, we have paid **your business debts**, not our business debts; long enough: move these anchors.

The claim of rights extends: to what you owe me, for property confiscated. You, the electrical distribution company; have earned/ saved money, by taking possession of this land: to save yourselves the price and labor of putting in the proper pole, and anchorage across the road/ in public easement property. Fraud is noted (*this must be so/ when in fact, it did not*). Your reliance upon a "70" year old easement; that should never have existed; **because it is not functionally necessary to the purposes, or realities of that distribution line: is hereby legally contested. A pole set across the road, anchoring the line in that public easement with a slack line from the perpendicular connection, to the new line; removes the anchor and costs from the farmer and landowner without any other consequences.** Establishing those costs to and for the electrical distribution company, where it belongs.

SUMMARY OF THE ISSUES: [pictures or pdf: 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,]

While it is true, the electrical distribution company cannot move an anchor for free/ **their cost, is a one time work and expense; that will stay in place for at least fifty years.** If they encased the poles in recycled appropriate plastic; that pole could last another fifty; benefiting all. **The farming operations must endure a work and expense established entirely do to that anchor; for at least 7 times each season** with financial consequences and risks; per year. ONLY, because of their anchorage placement; **which does not need to exist.** Reality adjusts the claim of placement or **easement rights; with the truth, just on the other side of the road, nobody loses anything, "because it is, the right thing to do"/ and we all benefit from that choice, as a state or nation: because fair, is fair to all.**

FUNDAMENTALS OF TRIAL:

This is a two part trial; first part being the claim of easement by governmental authority or the construction of a legal right due to signage of a contract defined by "corrupt purposes (it did not need to be)". IS WRONG! This OTHER option did exist, and was known at the time of installation.

The second part is: those who wish to make a claim, regarding money owed; because that anchor confiscated ground and required payment in the form of altered farming practices over decades; due entirely to "their anchorage". **Is a separate trial.** [I: based upon cost] in this trial/ will see]

The more critical construction in practice is: that we do have a legal right to reclaim our land/ **OR** be paid, just as the electrical distribution company is paid: for their expenses. Our contribution to their income, the business of, public electrical supply: **IS They forced our expense/ instead of their**

own expense! As is the addition of one more pole; to completely eliminate this field anchorage point; as described above.

CONSTRUCTION OF TRIAL:

NO MONEY SHALL BE COLLECTED FOR THIS TRIAL, UNTIL THE DAY WHEN A FORMAL TRIAL HAS BEEN DOCKETED IN COURT, AND GIVEN ITS ASSOCIATED IDENTIFICATION as such. I am not a lawyer, and I will not be representing you in court: YOU WILL hire a legal representative of your own choosing for that purpose, requires payment for the purpose as a group; fighting for your rights.... DETAILS will be given prior to any potential debt that may occur; by the legalized crime of lawyers.

Petitioning the court for a class action trial: **REQUIRES PROOF OF COMMITMENT** to that legal remedy: as such, the assembly of significant numbers of litigants (farmers/ landlords/ agricultural businesses; "sprayers, etc") **must identify themselves as willing to participate FOR NOW; in ONLY the first phase** of this. Then comes **legal action. TO ASSURE** commitment is real: the legally affirmed signatures of "people in agriculture"; must total in the tens of thousands/ PRIOR to finding a legal representative: to represent your own interests. **Once you have accomplished that.**

Without an appropriate involved audience: power always rules the day!

THE FIRST PHASE IS: merely to identify the legal grounds and authority, for removing the **anchorage points which exist in a field/ that is NOT REQUIRED** for proper and safe, electrical distribution. Those anchorage points **which are required** shall remain, and not be touched: because the public distribution of power is necessary. This first phase is a matter of law, and as such identifies the clear and certain development of this case as limited to "democracy (power shall not rule us) in action". The legal demand, **what is the right LEGAL answer,** for this state or nation. This first phase, **does not** establish any claim to "farm payments"; other than what may or may not come from the extension of this case as is consistent with the second part as described. **This first part or phase of legal contention: is merely to decide who has the legal standing [392 U.S. 83, 102] to enforce an anchorage must be removed/ or if it must stay, according to easement rights. 45 N.W. 2D 895, 897. A very strict legal description formed by easement cause; will be established. This elemental action then asserts & recognizes: a "67-68" year old easement is no longer valid; as is proven by changes in "the electrical distribution business models, now in force"; and argues, "it should never have been". I remind each one: the constitution is our government/ not employee, scientist, judge..! They're= former classmates.**

ALL SUBSEQUENT LEGAL PETITIONS SHALL CONTAIN THIS STATEMENT

[This is then a legal beginning, for the removal of all unnecessary field anchors holding the electrical distribution line, throughout this USA/ IL.] ***"if: the machinery show start is successful".***

YOUR SIGNATURE HERE, establishes your right to participate exists because of a real elemental connection to the trial by ownership, job, etc. or more simply: **if you are not directly involved in farming or land to be farmed/ DON'T sign.** The use of a permanently bound notebook "to collect signatures: with a proper beginning on the cover; should be acceptable.

YOUR signature: REPRESENTS YOUR DELIBERATE DECISION TO PARTICIPATE; and is, the beginning evaluation of interest to establish and pursue a class action legal trial: **to remove all field anchors that can be assigned as, "unnecessary, if another pole had been added".** If trial occurs/ ***THEN, through the website www.justtalking7.info*** "electric lines"; each signatory will be given the proper time and place, and legal responsibility identified on the website below: to legally join in. if you do not sign in: your anchors, will be taken out last. **YOUR JOB THEN,** as a signatory will be to insure for yourselves; **that you are willing to accept whoever represents you in court. This is the path to court;** asserting there is cause for "a legal determination of this problem".] **Completed paper petitions can be mailed to:**

box 112 Royal IL 61871

Petition FOR "class action lawsuit" drive: closes on July 22, 2020.

PETITION to prove
FOR CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT
TO REMOVE
ALL UNNECESSARY
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION LINE
ANCHORS
FROM AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

no money is collected
no lawsuit exists, until 100,000 signatures
will prove, “the legal right to proceed”

SIGN ONLY ONCE, VOTER AGE, FARM RELATED

information, and electronic sign-up (beneath
initiating brief) at

www.Justtalking7.info the link is
“electric lines”

send paper sign up sheet petitions:
to “box 112 Royal IL 61871”

your decisions make this trial happen!

Your name and address is required.

First originator: James Frank Osterbur 2191 county road 2500 E. St. Joseph, IL 61873

Your decision decides, and those others herein: establish a forum . 292 N.W. 584,586. For the pursuit of justice.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.